SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994 COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-593

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION (EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

STATE OF DELAWARE

51-0064146 (I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

861 SILVER LAKE BOULEVARD, DOVER, DELAWARE

19904 (ZIP CODE)

(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: 302-734-6754

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

COMMON STOCK--PAR VALUE PER SHARE \$.4867 NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

8.25% CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES DUE 2014 (TITLE OF CLASS)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. [X]

As of March 30, 1995, 3,680,675 shares of common stock were outstanding. The aggregate market value of the common shares held by non-affiliates of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, based on the last trade price on March 27, 1995, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange, was approximately \$46,468,522.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

PART OF FORM 10-K **DOCUMENTS** Definitive Proxy Statement dated April 13, 1995 Part III

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION FORM 10-K

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

			PAGE
Item 1 Item 2 Item 3 Item 4 Item 1	2. 3. 4.	Business	1 13 13 13 14
		PART II	
Item 5 Item 6 Item 7 Item 8 Item 8	6. 7.	Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Security Holder Matters	15 16 16 24 45
		PART III	
Item 1	11. 12.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant Executive Compensation	45 45 45 45
		PART IV	
		Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules, Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	45 48

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

(A) GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("Chesapeake" or "the Company") is a diversified utility company engaged in natural gas distribution and transmission, propane distribution and information technology services.

Chesapeake's three natural gas distribution divisions serve more than 32,300 residential, commercial and industrial customers in southern Delaware, Maryland's Eastern Shore and Central Florida. The natural gas transmission subsidiary operates a 271-mile interstate pipeline system that transports gas from various points in Pennsylvania to the Company's Delaware and Maryland distribution divisions, as well as to other utilities and industrial customers in Delaware and the Eastern Shore of Maryland. The Company's propane segment serves approximately 22,600 customers in southern Delaware and the Eastern Shore of Maryland and Virginia. The information technology services segment provides software products and services to a wide variety of customers and clients.

(B) FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1993	1992	
Operating Revenues, Unaffiliated Customers				
Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission Propane distribution Information technology services and	22,191,896 20,684,150	\$ 44,286,243 20,094,343 16,908,289	\$41,090,029 13,968,258 16,489,173	
other	6,172,508	4,583,757	3,568,248	
Total operating revenues, unaffiliated customers	\$ 98,572,297	\$ 85,872,632 =======	\$75,934,708 =======	
Intersegment Revenue Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission Propane distribution Information technology services and		\$ 52,577 17,345,800 48,248	\$ 64,381 15,530,496 2,118	
other	2,277,361	2,311,498	1,575,992	
Total intersegment revenue		\$ 19,758,123 ========	\$17,172,987 =======	
Operating Income Before Income Taxes Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission Propane distribution Information technology services and	\$ 4,696,659 3,018,212 2,287,688	\$ 4,114,683 3,091,843 1,588,383	\$ 4,350,992 2,732,068 1,440,096	
other	174,033	156,910	(378,763)	
Total Less: Eliminations	10,176,592 (419,883)	8,951,819 (651,439)	8,144,393 (265,510)	
Total operating income before income taxes		\$ \$8,300,380	\$ 7,887,883	
Identifiable Assets				
Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission Propane distribution Information technology services and	\$ 68,528,774 17,792,415 16,949,431	\$ 59,404,795 18,212,489 18,244,020	\$46,550,482 17,605,175 20,417,580	
other	4,999,997	5,126,797	4,984,067	
Total identifiable assets	\$108,270,617 =======	\$100,988,101 =======	\$89,557,304 =======	

(C) NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Company is engaged in four primary business activities: natural gas transmission; natural gas distribution; propane distribution; and information technology services. In addition to the four primary groups, Chesapeake has four subsidiaries engaged in other service related businesses. During 1994 and 1992 no individual customer accounted for 10% or more of revenues. The Company had one customer, Texaco Refining and Marketing, with revenues equal to or greater than 10% of total revenue in 1993. This industrial interruptible customer of the Company's natural gas transmission subsidiary accounted for approximately \$9,600,000 or 11.2% of total consolidated revenue and 25.7% of the transmission subsidiary's total revenue.

(I) (A) NATURAL GAS TRANSMISSION

Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company ("Eastern Shore"), the Company's wholly owned transmission subsidiary, operates an interstate pipeline that delivers gas to five utility and thirteen industrial customers in Delaware and the Eastern Shore of Maryland. Eastern Shore is the sole source of gas supply for Chesapeake's Maryland and Delaware divisions and for two unaffiliated distribution entities. Eastern Shore has not elected to be an "open access" pipeline which would only provide transportation services. However, Eastern Shore has authority from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") to provide firm transportation to two of its customers for gas they own and deliver to Eastern Shore for redelivery.

Operating income before income taxes attributable to natural gas transmission has varied from between \$2.7 to \$3.1 million from 1992 to 1994, with the high occurring in 1993. These fluctuations have resulted primarily from variations in volumes and margins on Eastern Shore's interruptible sales to industrial customers that have the capability of switching to oil for their fuel requirements. Rates charged to these customers are determined through negotiation and thus are flexible. When lower oil prices prevail Eastern Shore normally reduces the price it charges to its interruptible customers, thereby reducing the profit margin on such sales. In addition, certain customers switch from natural gas to oil, reducing volumes sold.

NATURAL GAS SUPPLY

General. Eastern Shore has firm contracts with three major interstate pipelines, Transcontinental Pipe Line Corporation ("Transco"), Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation ("Columbia") and Columbia Gulf Transmission Corporation ("Gulf"), all of which are "open-access" pipelines.

Eastern Shore's contracts with Transco include (a) firm transportation capacity of 22,900 MCF per day, which expires in 2005; (b) firm transportation capacity of 500 MCF per day for December through February, which expires in 2006; (c) three firm storage services providing a peak day entitlement of 7,046 MCF and a total capacity of 288,730 MCF and (d) two interruptible storage services with a total capacity of 427,182 MCF.

Eastern Shore's contracts with Columbia include: (a) firm transportation capacity of 1,481 MCF per day, which expires in 2003 and (b) firm storage service providing a peak day entitlement of 10,525 MCF per day and a total capacity of 509,954 MCF.

Eastern Shore's contract with Gulf is for firm transportation of 1,510 MCF per day, which also expires in 2003.

Eastern Shore currently has contracts for the purchase of firm natural gas supplies with six reputable suppliers. These six contracts provide a maximum daily entitlement of 18,572 MCF and the supplies are transported by both Transco and Columbia under Eastern Shore's firm transportation agreements. The gas purchase contracts have various expiration dates.

Adequacy of Gas Supply. Eastern Shore's firm obligations to its customers, including Chesapeake's Delaware and Maryland utility divisions, are 40,237 MCF for peak days and 9,200,565 MCF on an annual basis. Eastern Shore's maximum daily firm transportation capacity on the Transco and Columbia

systems is 42,452 MCF per day. Currently, Eastern Shore's firm daily peak supply is 36,643 MCF and its total annual firm supply is 7,054,332 MCF. This is equivalent to 91% of Eastern Shore's firm daily demand and 77% of its annual firm demand being satisfied by firm supply sources. To meet the difference between firm supply and firm demand, Eastern Shore obtains gas supply on the "spot market" from various other suppliers which is transported by Transco or Columbia and sold to Eastern Shore's customers as required. The Company believes that Eastern Shore's available firm, interruptible and "spot market" supply is ample to meet the anticipated needs of Eastern Shore's customers.

There was no curtailment of firm gas supply to Eastern Shore in 1994, nor does Eastern Shore anticipate any such curtailment during 1995.

COMPETITION

Competition with Alternative Fuels. Historically, the Company's natural gas operations have successfully competed with other forms of energy such as electricity, oil, and propane. The principal consideration in the competition between the Company and suppliers of other sources of energy is price and, to a lesser extent, accessibility. All of the Company's divisions have the capability of adjusting their interruptible rates to compete with alternative fuels.

The Company has several large volume industrial customers that have the capacity to use fuel oil as an alternative to natural gas. When oil prices decline, some of Chesapeake's natural gas distribution and transmission interruptible customers convert to oil to satisfy their fuel requirements. Lower levels in interruptible sales occur when oil prices remain depressed relative to the price of natural gas. However, oil prices as well as the prices of other fuels are subject to change at any time for a variety of reasons. Therefore, there is always uncertainty in the continuing competition among natural gas and other fuels. In order to address this uncertainty, the Company uses flexible pricing arrangements on both the supply and sales side of its business to maximize sales volumes.

To a lesser extent than price, availability of equipment and operational efficiency are also factors in competition among fuels, primarily in residential and commercial settings. Heating, water heating, and other domestic or commercial equipment is generally designed for a particular energy source, and especially with respect to heating equipment, the high cost of conversion is a disincentive for individuals and businesses to change their energy source.

Competition within the Natural Gas Industry. FERC Order 636 enables all natural gas suppliers to compete for customers on equal footing. Under this "open access" environment, interstate pipeline companies have unbundled the traditional components of their service--gas gathering, transportation and storage. If they choose to be a merchant of gas, they must form a separate marketing operation independent of their pipeline operations. Hence, gas markets have developed as a viable option for many companies because they are providing expertise in gas purchasing along with collective purchasing capabilities which, when combined, may reduce end-user cost.

Eastern Shore has not elected to be an "open access" pipeline and is permitted to transport gas for only two of its existing customers. Thus, most of Eastern Shore's customers, including Chesapeake's Maryland and Delaware utility divisions, and, in turn, customers of these divisions, do not have the capability of directly contracting for alternative sources of gas supply and have Eastern Shore transport the gas to them.

RATES AND REGULATION

General. Eastern Shore is subject to regulation by the FERC as an interstate pipeline and the Delaware Public Service Commission ("Commission") as a supplier of gas to industrial customers in the state of Delaware. The FERC regulates the provision of service, terms and conditions of service, and the rates and fees Eastern Shore can charge its transportation and sale for resale customers. In addition, the

FERC regulates the rates Eastern Shore is charged for transportation and transmission line purchases provided by Transco and Columbia. Eastern Shore's direct sales rates to industrial customers are currently not regulated. The rates for such sales are established by contracts negotiated between Eastern Shore and each industrial customer.

On February 11, 1992, the Commission issued an Order that declared Eastern Shore to be a "public utility" under Delaware law subject to the Commission's jurisdiction in connection with Eastern Shore's direct retail sales of natural gas to end-users in the State of Delaware. The Order also initiated proceeding to consider the extent to which public utility regulatory supervision should be imposed upon the direct sales of Eastern Shore within the State of Delaware. On May 14, 1994 the Company filed with the commission a proposal for a limited level of regulation. On October 4, 1994, the Commission issued an Order essentially agreeing with a level of regulation limited to the following: accepting and considering complaints from customers, requiring Eastern Shore to file the annual and other periodic reports and requiring Eastern Shore to pay the annual public utility tax. In the opinion of management, the Order will not have a material effect on Eastern Shore's financial position or results of operations.

The rates for Eastern Shore's "sale for resale" customers, (i.e., sales to its utility customers) are subject to a purchased gas adjustment clause. Eastern Shore's firm industrial contracts generally include tracking provisions that permit automatic adjustment for the full amount of increases or decreases in Eastern Shore's suppliers' firm rates.

Rate Proceedings. On August 31, 1992, Eastern Shore filed with the FERC to restate its Base Tariff Rates in compliance with Section 154.303(e) of the FERC's regulations for sales and transportation to its jurisdictional customers, including the Company's Delaware and Maryland divisions. On March 26, 1993, Eastern Shore filed a stipulation and agreement with FERC, which was subsequently approved on May 24, 1993 by letter. The final settlement did not materially affect the consolidated financial position of the Company because the resulting FERC order allowed current billing rates to stay in effect.

FERC PGA. On May 19, 1994, the FERC issued an Order directing Eastern Shore to refund, with interest, what the FERC characterized as overcharges from November 1, 1992 to the current billing month. The May 19, 1994 Order also directed Eastern Shore to file a report showing how the refund was calculated, and revised tariff language clarifying the purchased gas adjustment provisions its tariff.

Eastern Shore filed a request for rehearing of the Order on June 20, 1994 based on what Eastern Shore believes is the FERC's erroneous interpretation of Eastern Shore's tariff. It is Eastern Shore's position that the FERC's Order essentially requires a retroactive change to the FERC approved PGA procedures which Eastern Shore has consistently applied over the last six years. Such retroactive application is a violation of Sections 4 and 5 of the Natural Gas Act.

On June 21, 1994, in compliance with the FERC's May 19, 1994 Order, Eastern Shore filed: (1) revised tariff sheets clarifying its PGA methodology and (2) two alternative refund calculations based of the FERC's Order. The two alternatives were filed due to what Eastern Shore believes to be an inconsistency or contradiction with respect to the FERC's language in its Order.

On July 18, 1994 the FERC issued an "Order Granting a Rehearing Solely for the Purpose of Further Consideration". This Order was issued only to afford the FERC additional time for consideration of the issues raised in Eastern Shore's request for rehearing.

As of this filing date, the FERC has not approved either of the alternative refund calculations submitted by Eastern Shore on June 21, 1994, nor has it made a final determination as to Eastern Shore's request for rehearing. Accordingly, Eastern Shore accrued \$412,000 in the second quarter of 1994, relating to prior periods. Eastern Shore has also accrued an amount each month in 1994 to insure full refunding of the potential refund. The total amount accrued on December 31, 1994 is \$1,283,000.

(I) (B) NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Chesapeake distributes natural gas to approximately 32,300 residential, commercial and industrial customers in southern Delaware, the Salisbury and Cambridge, Maryland areas on Maryland's Eastern Shore, and Central Florida. These activities are conducted through three utility divisions, consisting of one division in Delaware, one division in Maryland, and one division in Florida. In 1993, the Company started brokering natural gas in the state of Florida under the name of Peninsula Energy Services Company ("PESCO").

Delaware and Maryland. The Delaware and Maryland divisions serve approximately 24,287 customers, of which approximately 24,200 are residential and commercial customers purchasing gas primarily for heating purposes. Residential and commercial customers account for approximately 67% of the volume delivered by the divisions, and 79% of the divisions' revenue, on an annual basis. The divisions' industrial customers purchase gas, primarily on an interruptible basis, for a variety of manufacturing, agricultural and other uses. Most of Chesapeake's customer growth in these divisions comes from new residential construction utilizing gas heating equipment.

Florida. The Florida division distributes natural gas to approximately 8,000 residential and commercial and 83 industrial customers in Polk, Osceola and Hillsborough Counties. Currently 34 of the division's industrial customers, which are engaged primarily in the citrus and phosphate industries and electric cogeneration, and purchase and transport gas on a firm and interruptible basis, account for approximately 82% of the volume delivered by the Florida division, and 67% of the division's natural gas sales and transportation revenues, on an annual basis. In November 1993, the Company's Florida division began providing natural gas brokering services to compete in the open access environment. Currently, fourteen customers receive brokering service which generated margin of \$63,000 in 1994.

NATURAL GAS SUPPLY

Delaware and Maryland. Chesapeake's Delaware and Maryland utility divisions receive all of their gas supply requirements from Eastern Shore. The divisions purchase most of this gas under contracts with Eastern Shore which extend through November 1, 2000. The contracts provide for the purchase of 15,629 firm MCF daily (up to a maximum of 5,704,585 MCF annually). The divisions have additional firm supplies available under contract with Eastern Shore for peak demand periods occurring during the winter heating season. These contracts, which are renewable on a year-toyear basis, provide for the purchase of up to 450 MCF daily (up to a maximum of 13,500 MCF annually) of peaking service. In addition, the divisions have contracted with Eastern Shore for firm and interruptible storage capacity. On days when gas volumes available to the divisions from Eastern Shore are greater than their requirements, gas is injected into storage and is then available for withdrawal to meet heavier winter loads. These storage contracts also permit the utility divisions to purchase lower cost gas during the off-peak summer season. Effective November 1, 1993, the storage capacity under contract with Eastern Shore totaled 829,532 MCF with a firm peak daily withdrawal entitlement of 14,605 MCF. On those days when requirements exceed these contract pipeline supplies, the divisions have propane-air injection facilities for peak shaving.

Eastern Shore has no authority to transport natural gas purchased from a third party for the Delaware and Maryland divisions; however, while Chesapeake's divisions have no direct access to lower priced "spot market" gas, they benefit from Eastern Shore's ability to obtain "spot market" gas and the resulting reductions in Eastern Shore's rates.

Florida. The Florida division receives transportation service from Florida Gas Transmission Company ("FGT"), a major interstate pipeline. Chesapeake has contracts with FGT for (a) daily firm transportation capacity of 20,523 dekatherms in May through September, 27,105 dekatherms in October, and 26,919 dekatherms in October through April under FGT's firm transportation service (FTS-1) rate schedule; (b) daily firm transportation capacity of 5,100 dekatherms in May through October, and 8,100

dekatherms in November through April under FGT's firm transportation service (FTS-2) rate schedule; (c) preferred interruptible transportation service up to 2,300,000 dekatherms annually under FGT's preferred transportation service (PTS-1) rate schedule; and (d) daily interruptible transportation capacity of 20,000 dekatherms under FGT's interruptible transportation services (ITS-1) rate schedule. The firm transportation contract (FTS-1) expires on August 1, 2000 with the Company retaining a unilateral right to extend the term for an additional ten years. After the expiration of the primary or secondary term, Chesapeake has the right to first refuse to match the terms of any competing bids for the capacity. The firm transportation contract (FTS-2) expires on March 1, 2015. The preferred interruptible contract expires on the earlier of (a) the effective date of FGT's first rate case which includes costs for phase III expansion; or (b) August 1, 1995 and or (c) August 1 of any subsequent year, provided that FGT or Chesapeake gives to the other at least one hundred eighty (180) days written notice prior to such August 1. The interruptible transportation contract is effective until August 1, 2010 and month to month thereafter unless cancelled by either party with thirty days notice.

The Florida division currently receives its gas supply from various suppliers. Some supply is bought on the spot market and some is bought under the terms of two firm supply contacts with MG National Gas Corp. and Hadson Gas Systems, Inc.

Having restructured its arrangements with FGT, Chesapeake believes it is well positioned to meet the continuing needs of its customers with secure and cost effective gas supplies.

Adequacy of Gas Supply. The Company believes that Eastern Shore's available firm and interruptible supply is ample to meet the anticipated needs of the Company's Delaware and Maryland natural gas distribution divisions. Availability of gas supply to the Florida division is also expected to be adequate under existing arrangements. Moreover, additional supply sources have become available as a result of FGT becoming an "open access" pipeline.

Competition within the Natural Gas Industry. Historically, Chesapeake's Florida division has been supplied solely by FGT. In 1990, FGT became an "open access" pipeline. The Florida division's large industrial customers now have the option of remaining with the Florida division for gas supply or obtaining alternative supplies from FGT, gas marketers or other suppliers. These conditions have increased competition between Chesapeake's Florida division, FGT, gas marketers and other natural gas providers for industrial customers in Central Florida. Starting in early 1993, in recognition of the opportunity created by FERC Order 636, Chesapeake's Florida division began contacting all of the Florida division's large industrial customers and other large users of natural gas throughout the state of Florida about changes in the natural gas industry. As a result, the Company has entered into agreements with a number of these large users of natural gas to supply them with brokering and regulatory support services.

RATES AND REGULATION

General. Chesapeake's natural gas distribution operations are subject to regulation by the Delaware, Maryland, and Florida Public Service Commissions with respect to various aspects of the Company's business, including the rates for sales to all of their customers in each jurisdiction. All of Chesapeake's firm distribution rates are subject to purchased gas adjustment clauses, which match revenues with gas costs and normally allow eventual full recovery of gas costs. Adjustments under these clauses require periodic filings and hearings with the relevant regulatory authority, but do not require a general rate proceeding. Rates on interruptible sales by the Florida division are also subject to purchased gas adjustment clauses.

Management monitors the rate of return in each jurisdiction in order to ensure the timely filing of rate adjustment applications.

RATE PROCEEDINGS

Maryland. During 1994, the Company filed a petition with and received approval from the Maryland Public Service Commission to consolidate, for rate and accounting purposes, its two distribution divisions located in Salisbury and Cambridge, Maryland.

Florida. On December 10, 1993, the Florida Public Service Commission issued an order reducing the Florida divisions allowed return on equity from 12% to 11%, in response to lower interest rates. On August 5, 1994, the Florida division filed Modified Minimum Filing Requirements which are required every 4 years by Florida Public Service Commission regulations. As of December 31, 1994, no decision had been rendered by the Florida Public Service Commission on the Division's MMFR's. However, as a result of the order received by the Division regarding its 1994 potential overearnings, any change in the Division's authorized return on equity that results from the PSC's decision on the MMFR's will not become effective until January 1, 1996. On February 6, 1995, the Florida Public Service Commission approved the Florida division's proposal to cap its 1994 and 1995 earnings at 12%. The order also allows the division to offset any 1994 excess earnings against anticipated increases in major expense areas in 1995 and permits the division to resume recovery of its annual accrual to the environmental clean-up reserve.

(I) (C) PROPANE DISTRIBUTION

Chesapeake's propane distribution group consists of Sharp Energy, Inc. ("Sharp Energy"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Chesapeake, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Sharpgas, Inc. ("Sharpgas") and Sharpoil, Inc. ("Sharpoil").

Sharpgas purchases, stores and distributes propane to approximately 22,600 customers on the Delmarva Peninsula. The propane distribution business is affected by many factors such as seasonality, the absence of price regulation and competition among local providers.

Propane is a form of liquefied petroleum gas which is typically extracted from natural gas or separated during the crude oil refining process. Although propane is gaseous at normal pressures, it is easily compressed into liquid form for storage and transportation. Propane is a clean-burning fuel, gaining increased recognition for its environmental superiority, safety, efficiency, transportability and ease of use relative to alternative forms of energy.

Propane is sold primarily in suburban and rural areas which are not served by natural gas pipelines. Demand is typically much higher in the winter months and is significantly affected by seasonal variations, particularly the relative severity of winter temperatures, because of its use in residential and commercial heating.

The Company purchases propane primarily from five suppliers, including major domestic oil companies and independent producers of gas liquids and oil. Supplies of propane from these and other sources are readily available for purchase by the Company. Supply contracts generally include minimum (not subject to take-or-pay) and maximum purchase provisions.

The Company uses trucks and railroad cars to transport propane from refineries, natural gas processing plants or pipeline terminals to the Company's bulk storage facilities. From these facilities, propane is delivered by "bobtail" trucks owned and operated by the Company to tanks located at the customer's premises, or in portable cylinders. Most of the tanks and cylinders are owned by the Company and are utilized by the customer free of charge.

Sharpgas competes with several other propane distributors in its service territories, primarily on the basis of service and price, emphasizing reliability of service and responsiveness. Competition is generally local because distributors located in close proximity to customers incur lower costs of providing service.

Propane competes with electricity and fuel oil as an energy source. Propane is typically comparable in price to fuel oil and generally less expensive than electricity based on equivalent BTU value. Because natural gas historically has been less expensive than propane, propane is generally not distributed in geographic areas serviced by natural gas pipeline or distribution systems.

The Company's propane distribution activities are not subject to any federal or state pricing regulation. Transport operations are subject to regulations concerning the transportation of hazardous materials promulgated under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act, which is administered by the United States Department of Transportation and enforced by the various states in which such operations take place. Propane distribution operations are also subject to state safety regulations relating to "hook-up" and placement of propane tanks.

The Company's propane operations are subject to all operating hazards normally incident to the handling, storage and transportation of combustible liquids, such as the risk of personal injury and property damage caused by fire. The Company carries general liability insurance in the amount of \$35,000,000 per occurrence, but there is no assurance that such insurance will be adequate.

In 1991, the Company decided to discontinue its entire fuel oils and motor fuels delivery business. During 1992, the Company consummated the sale of substantially all of the assets of Sharpoil. Chesapeake originally acquired Sharpoil's assets in December 1988, when it purchased certain propane and oil assets of Kellam Energy, Inc. Sharpoil was formed at that time to conduct a small oil products distribution business in Virginia and Maryland which served approximately 2,500 customers. The decision to dispose of Sharpoil resulted in a charge to fourth quarter 1991 earnings of \$500,000 and includes the write-off of goodwill and other intangible assets, estimated losses on the sale of all of its oil products distribution assets and an estimated loss anticipated in 1992 with respect to operating the remaining business through the date of sale (the actual loss was \$426,500). See Note B to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

(I) (D) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

Chesapeake's information technology services segment is comprised of two wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company: Capital Data Systems, Inc. ("CDS") and United Systems, Inc. ("USI").

CDS is an information technology provider offering software products and services primarily to the telecommunications companies and Chesapeake's subsidiaries. Application software solutions include customer information, management information, billing and financial systems. To a broader market, CDS offers outsourcing/facilities management, network design and management, disaster recovery, contract programming and consulting services.

USI is an Atlanta-based company that primarily provides support for users of PROGRESS (R), a fourth generation computer language and Relational Database Management System. USI offers consulting, training, software development "tools" and customer software development for their client base, which includes many large domestic and international corporations. The Information Technology businesses face significant competition from a number of larger competitors having substantially greater resources available to them than the Company. In addition, changes in the Information Technology business is occurring rapidly, which could adversely impact the markets for the Company's products and services.

(I) (E) OTHER LINES OF BUSINESS

In addition to the four business segments previously mentioned, the Company is involved in other businesses under the umbrella of Chesapeake Service Company ("Chesapeake Service"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. In addition to CDS, the group contains Currin and Associates, Inc. ("C&A"), Skipjack, Inc. ("Skipjack"), and Chesapeake Investment Company ("Chesapeake Investment"), all three of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Chesapeake Service. Skipjack owns and leases to affiliates an office building in Dover, Delaware. Chesapeake Investment is a Delaware affiliated investment company.

In 1994, based on declining revenue and business projections, the Company disposed of its investment in C&A, a rate and regulatory consulting subsidiary acquired in 1988. Revenue declined from

a high of \$593,000 in 1992 to a low of \$52,000 for 1994. The disposition has resulted in a \$260,000 after tax loss recorded to Other Income and Deductions. The loss resulted from the write-off of goodwill and the disposition of other assets.

(II) SEASONAL NATURE OF BUSINESS

Revenues from the Company's residential and commercial natural gas sales and from its propane distribution activities are affected by seasonal variations, since the majority of these sales are to customers using the fuels for heating purposes. Revenues from these customers are accordingly affected by the mildness or severity of the heating season.

(III) CAPITAL BUDGET

The Company's current capital budget for 1995 contemplates expenditures totalling approximately \$16.6 million. The total includes approximately \$11.7 million for Chesapeake's natural gas distribution divisions, consisting mainly of extensions to and replacements of the distribution facilities and related equipment; \$1.7 million for natural gas transmission operations, providing principally for improvements and enhancements to its measuring and regulating stations; \$1.7 million for propane distribution, principally for the purchase of storage facilities, additional tanks and transportation equipment; \$452,000 for computer hardware, software and related equipment required for expansion of the Company's information technology services activities; and \$1.0 million for building construction and improvements in Chesapeake's Skipjack subsidiary, along with miscellaneous equipment for the administrative group. These capital requirements are expected to be financed by cash flow provided by the Company's operating activities and short-term borrowing.

(IV)ENVIRONMENTAL

(IV) (A) DOVER GAS LIGHT SITE

In 1984, the State of Delaware notified the Company that a parcel of land it purchased in 1949 from Dover Gas Light Company, a predecessor gas company, contains hazardous substances. The State also asserted that the Company is responsible for any clean-up and prospective environmental monitoring of the site. The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control ("DNREC") investigated the site and surroundings, finding coal tar residue and some ground-water contamination.

In October 1989, the Environmental Protection Agency Region III ("EPA") listed the Dover Site on the National Priorities List under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA" or "Superfund"). Under CERCLA, the State of Delaware and the Company are potentially responsible parties ("PRP") for clean-up of the site. In July 1990, the Company entered into an agreement with EPA and DNREC to perform a Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study under the supervision of EPA and DNREC to study the site and surroundings to determine any environmental impacts. Pursuant to the agreement the Company agreed to pay for the study and 80% of EPA's oversight cost while DNREC is paying for all of its own oversight costs as the lead agency plus 20% of EPA's oversight costs. The Company submitted its reports on the Remedial Investigation ("RI") and Feasibility Study ("FS") to EPA and DNREC on January 25, 1993 and February 15, 1993, respectively. The Company received extensive comments from EPA and DNREC on the RI and FS reports. The Company submitted to the EPA and DNREC its revised RI and FS on May 14, 1993 and June 25, 1993, respectively. In the FS Report, Chesapeake proposed a remedy which involved capping the site and monitoring ground-water quality in the surrounding area. Chesapeake's consultant estimated that it would cost approximately \$700,000 to execute this plan of remediation.

After further discussions with the regulatory authorities, Chesapeake agreed to undertake an additional phase study, the Ground Water Evaluation Study--Phase III, which focused on delineating

the area of maximum ground-water impact from the site. The results of that study were submitted to EPA and DNREC in September 1993. On February 1, 1994, EPA issued its proposed plan of action (the "Plan"). The Plan adopted many findings of the Phase III Study, acknowledging that the Dover Site has impacted ground-water only in a limited area.

The Plan presented and discussed a number of remedial alternatives, including the remedial strategy proposed by the Company in the FS. The EPA Plan proposed a more extensive remediation strategy that involves removal of contaminated soils from the site and drilling a series of twenty (20) wells. EPA estimated that execution of its Plan would cost \$4.9 million. The Plan was submitted by the EPA for public comment. The 30-day public comment period ended on April 4, 1994. During this period, the EPA received public comments, including those submitted by the Company.

The EPA issued the site Record of Decision ("ROD") dated August 16, 1994. The remedial action selected by the EPA in the ROD differed significantly from the Plan. The EPA selected a remediation addressing the ground-water contamination with a combination of hydraulic containment and natural attenuation. Remediation selected for the soil at the site is to meet stringent cleanup standards for the first two feet of soil and less stringent standards for the soil below two feet. These selected levels of remediation were not alternatives listed in the Plan, but utilized elements proposed. In addition, the ROD incorporated many of the public comments that were received. The ROD estimates the costs of selected remediation of ground-water and soil at \$2.7 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. The remediation selected in the ROD is substantially more limited than had been suggested in the Plan. In the ROD, the EPA indicated that its previous \$4.9 million estimate was incorrect.

On November 18, 1994, EPA issued a "Special Notice Letter" (the "Letter") to Chesapeake and three other PRPs. The Letter included, inter alia, (1) a demand for payment by the PRPs of EPA's past costs (currently estimated to be approximately \$300,000) and future costs incurred overseeing site work; (2) notice of EPA's commencement of a 60 day moratorium on certain EPA response activities at the site; (3) a request by EPA that Chesapeake and the other PRPs submit a "good faith proposal" to conduct or finance the work identified in the ROD; and (4) proposed consent orders by which Chesapeake and other parties may agree to perform the good faith proposal.

In January 1995, Chesapeake submitted to the EPA a good faith proposal to perform a substantial portion of the work set forth in the ROD, which was subsequently rejected. It is unknown whether other PRPs will submit good faith proposals, what such proposals might include, and whether EPA would accept such proposals. Under CERCLA, the EPA may reject any of the proposals, and seek an administrative or court order to require any or all of the PRPs to implement the work. EPA may also do the work itself and seek recovery of its costs in court.

The Company and the EPA are each attempting to secure voluntary performance of part of the remediation by other parties. These parties include the State of Delaware, which is the owner of the property and was identified in the ROD as a PRP, and a business identified in the ROD as a PRP for having contributed to ground-water contamination. On March 6, 1995, in order to protect its interests, the Company filed suit in federal court for a determination that the State of Delaware is a liable party and for recovery from the State of costs of complying with the ROD. The Company is also considering suit against other PRPs. In addition, EPA has stated that it will take steps to secure prompt commencement of the remedial design phase needed to implement the ROD. The Company therefore anticipates further negotiations to resolve these matters among the parties and with the government. Management is evaluating the ROD to determine the most economic approach to implementation of the remedies selected in the ROD.

In the third quarter of 1994, the Company increased its accrued liability recorded with respect to the Dover Site to \$6.0 million from \$700,000. This amount reflects the EPA's present estimate, as stated in the ROD, for remediation of the site according to the ROD. Future developments in the matters discussed above would be accompanied by appropriate reductions to the liability recorded as they occur. The Company also increased the corresponding regulatory asset to \$6.0 million. If the Company incurs expenses of that amount in connection with undertaking the remedies selected in the ROD, management's belief is that the Company will be equitably entitled to contribution from other responsible parties for the greater part of these expenses. Management also believes that any amounts not so contributed will be recoverable in the Company's rates.

As of December 31, 1994, the Company has incurred approximately \$3,099,000 in costs relating to environmental testing and remedial action studies. In 1990, the Company entered into settlement agreements with a number of insurance companies resulting in proceeds to fund actual environmental costs incurred over a five to seven year period beginning in 1990. On February 23, 1993, the Delaware Public Service Commission, consistent with prior base rate proceedings, authorized the Company to amortize an additional \$749,971 in environmental expenses for ratemaking purposes over a seven year period. At December 31, 1994 the unamortized balance is approximately \$554,000. Of the \$3,099,000 in costs reported above, approximately \$227,000 has not yet been recovered through insurance proceeds or received ratemaking treatment. It is management's opinion that these costs incurred will be recoverable in rates.

(IV) (B) SALISBURY TOWN GAS LIGHT SITE

In cooperation with the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE"), the Company has completed an assessment of the Salisbury manufactured gas plant site. The assessment determined that there was localized contamination of ground-water. A remedial design report was submitted to MDE in November 1990 and included a proposal to monitor, pump and treat any contaminated ground-water on-site. The Company has proposed to MDE to proceed with these activities over a maximum period of five years, after which time any residual environmental impacts from the site will be reevaluated. The remedial design was approved by MDE by a letter dated July 20, 1992, subject to certain conditions stated in that letter. The Company responded by a letter dated August 6, 1992, objecting to certain conditions imposed by MDE; however, none of these issues is expected to delay implementation of the remedial design. In January 1993, after discussions between the Company and MDE, the monitoring portion of the remedial design was revised. MDE has approved additional revisions to the remedial action workplan, resulting in a substantial reduction in the overall cost of this project. The Company continues discussions with the MDE on the final stage of remediation addressing the ground-water contamination.

The cost of remediation is estimated to be approximately \$250,000 in capital costs with yearly operating expenses of approximately \$125,000. Based on these estimated costs, the Company recorded both a liability and a deferred regulatory asset of \$642,092 on December 31, 1994, to cover the Company's projected remediation costs for this site. The liability payout for this site is expected to be over a five year period. As of 1994, the Company has incurred approximately \$1,723,000 for remedial actions and environmental studies and has charged such costs to accumulated depreciation. In a previous rate proceeding, the Company requested and received recovery for all costs incurred as of November 30, 1988, through base rates, including both a ten year amortization of these costs and rate base treatment for the unamortized balance. As of 1994, the unamortized balance was approximately \$201,586 which will be fully amortized by May 31, 1999. In January 1990, the Company entered into settlement agreements with a number of insurance companies resulting in proceeds to fund actual environmental costs incurred over a three to five year period beginning in 1990. The final insurance proceeds were requested and received in 1992. Of the \$1,723,000 in costs reported above, approximately \$765,000 has not been recovered through insurance proceeds or received ratemaking treatment. It is management's opinion that these costs incurred and future costs incurred, if any, will be recoverable in rates.

(IV) (C) WINTER HAVEN COAL GAS SITE

The Company is currently conducting investigations of a site in Winter Haven, Florida, where the Company's predecessors manufactured coal gas earlier this century. A Contamination Assessment Report ("CAR") was submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP") on July 11, 1990. The CAR contained the results of additional investigations of conditions at the site. These investigations confirmed limited soil and ground-water impacts to the site. By letter dated March 26, 1991, FDEP directed the Company to conduct additional investigations on-site to fully delineate the vertical and horizontal extent of soil and ground-water impacts.

Additional contamination assessment activities were conducted at the site in late 1992 and early 1993. On March 25, 1993, a Contamination Assessment Report Addendum ("CAR Addendum") was delivered to FDEP. The CAR Addendum concluded that soil and ground-water impacts have been adequately delineated as a result of the additional field work. The FDEP approved the CAR and CAR Addendum in March of 1994. The next step is a Risk Assessment ("RA") and a Feasibility Study ("FS") on the site. The RA and FS are expected to be filed with the FDEP during the first six months of 1995 at an estimated cost of \$54,000. Until the RA and FS are completed and accepted as final by the FDEP, it is not possible to determine whether remedial action will be required by FDEP and, if so, the cost of such remediation.

The Company has spent approximately \$595,000, as of December 31, 1994, on these investigations, and expects to recover these expenses, as well as any future expenses, through base rates. These costs have been accounted for as charges to accumulated depreciation. The Company requested and received from the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC") approval to amortize through base rates \$359,659 of clean-up and removal costs incurred as of December 31, 1986. As of December 31, 1992, these costs were fully amortized. In January 1993, the Company received approval to recover through base rates approximately \$217,000 in additional costs related to the former manufactured gas plant. This amount represents recovery of \$173,000 of costs incurred from January 1987 through December 1992, as well as prospective recovery of estimated future costs which have not yet been incurred at that time. The FPSC has allowed for amortization of these costs over a three year period and provided for rate base treatment for the unamortized balance. In a separate docket before the FPSC, the Company has requested and received approval to apply a refund of 1991 overearnings of approximately \$118,000 against the balance of unamortized environmental charges incurred as of December 31, 1992. As a result, these environmental charges were fully amortized as of June 1994. Of the \$595,000 in costs reported above, all costs have received ratemaking treatment. It is management's opinion that future costs, if any, will be recoverable in rates.

(IV) (D) SMYRNA COAL GAS SITE

On August 29, 1989 and August 4, 1993, representatives of DNREC conducted sampling on property owned by the Company in Smyrna, Delaware. This property is believed to be the location of a former manufactured gas plant. Analysis of the samples taken by DNREC shows a limited area of soil contamination.

On November 2, 1993, DNREC advised the Company that it would require a remediation of the soil contamination under the state's Hazardous Substance Cleanup Act and submitted a draft Consent Decree to the Company for its review. The Company met with DNREC personnel in December 1993 to discuss the scope of any remediation of the site and, on January 3, 1994, submitted a proposed workplan, together with comments on the draft Consent Decree. Initial comments from DNREC on the Work Plan were received on March 2, 1994, appropriate revisions were prepared and the Work plan was resubmitted. Several additional sets of comments on the Work Plan were received from DNREC and the final Work Plan was submitted on September 27, 1994. DNREC has approved the Work Plan and the Consent Decree. Remediation based on the Work Plan, is scheduled to begin in 1995, at a cost of approximately \$75,000. It is management's opinion that future costs, if any, will be recoverable in rates.

(V) EMPLOYEES

The Company has 320 employees including 144 natural gas distribution employees, 18 natural gas transmission employees, 92 propane distribution employees and 38 information technology services employees. The remaining 28 employees are considered general and administrative and include officers of the Company and treasury, accounting, marketing, human resources and other administrative personnel.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

(A) GENERAL

The Company rents office space for its corporate headquarters located in Dover, Delaware. The Company owns office and operations buildings in Salisbury, Cambridge, and Princess Anne, Maryland; Dover, Seaford, Laurel and Georgetown, Delaware; and Winter Haven, Florida, and rents office space in Plant City, Florida; Chincoteague and Belle Haven, Virginia; Raleigh and Cary, North Carolina; Easton and Pocomoke, Maryland; and Atlanta, Georgia. In general, the properties of the Company are adequate for the uses for which they are employed. Capacity and utilization of the Company's facilities can vary significantly due to the seasonal nature of the natural gas and propane distribution businesses.

(B) NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Chesapeake owns over 482 miles of natural gas distribution mains (together with related service lines, meters and regulators) located in its Delaware and Maryland service areas, and 454 miles of such mains (and related equipment) in its Central Florida service areas. Chesapeake also owns facilities in Delaware and Maryland for propane-air injection during periods of peak demand.

Nearly all of the properties constituting Chesapeake's distribution system are encumbered pursuant to Chesapeake's First Mortgage Bonds.

(C) NATURAL GAS TRANSMISSION

Eastern Shore owns approximately 271 miles of transmission lines extending from Parkesburg, Pennsylvania to Salisbury, Maryland. Eastern Shore also owns two compressor stations located in Daleville, Pennsylvania and Bridgeville, Delaware. The Daleville station is utilized to increase Columbia supply pressures to match Transco supply pressures, and to increase Eastern Shore's pressures in order to serve growing demands from Chesapeake's Delaware division. The Bridgeville station is being used to provide increased pressures required to meet the demands on the system.

(D) PROPANE DISTRIBUTION

Sharpgas owns bulk propane storage facilities with an aggregate capacity of 1,230,000 gallons at 26 plant facilities in Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia, located on real estate it either owns or leases.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Environmental disclosures are set forth in Part I, Item 1(c)(iv) of this 10-K, under "Environmental".

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in certain legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of business. The Company is also involved in certain legal and administrative proceedings before various governmental agencies concerning rates. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information pertaining to the Executive Officers of the Company is as follows:

Ralph J. Adkins (age 52) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. Adkins is President and Chief Executive Officer of Chesapeake. He has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since November 8, 1990. Prior to holding his present position, Mr. Adkins served as President and Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President, Senior Vice President, Vice President and Treasurer of Chesapeake. Mr. Adkins is also Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Chesapeake Service Company, and Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sharp Energy, Inc. and Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company, all wholly owned subsidiaries of Chesapeake. He has been a director of Chesapeake since 1989.

John R. Schimkaitis (age 47) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. Schimkaitis is Senior Vice President, Assistant Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Chesapeake. He previously served as Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer from 1987 to 1992 and has served as Assistant Secretary from 1986 to 1992. From 1983 to 1986 Mr. Schimkaitis was Vice President of Cooper & Rutter, Inc., a consulting firm providing financial services to the utility and cable industries.

Jeremy D. West (age 45) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. West is the President of Sharp Energy, Inc. and Vice President of Chesapeake. He joined Sharp Energy in 1990 as President and in May 1992 was elected Vice President of Chesapeake. Mr. West was Vice President of Marketing from March 1987 to March 1989, and President from March 1989 to June 1990, of Columbia Propane Corporation, a subsidiary of Columbia Gas System. Previously, Mr. West was with Suburban Propane Gas Corp. as Regional Manager from September 1985 to March 1987.

Jack E. Reinhard (age 47) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. Reinhard joined Chesapeake in 1988 as Superintendent of Division Operations, and in 1990 was promoted to General Manager of Natural Gas Operations. In May 1992, he was elected Vice President of Chesapeake. Mr. Reinhard was previously employed by Southern Union Gas Company, a division of Southern Union Corporation.

Kenneth H. Dean (age 55) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. Dean joined Chesapeake in May 1994 as Senior Vice President of Corporate Development and Planning. He was previously employed for 11 years with Stone and Webster Management Consultants, must recently as Senior Vice President. Prior to that, Mr. Dean served as Executive Vice President of Mobile (Alabama) Gas Service Corporation.

Philip S. Barefoot (age 47) (present term expires May 16, 1995). Mr. Barefoot joined Chesapeake as Division Manager of Florida Operation in July 1988. In May 1994 he was elected Senior Vice President of Natural Gas Operations, as well as President of Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company. Prior to joining Chesapeake, he was employed with Peoples Natural Gas Company where he held the positions of Division Sales Manager, Division Manager and Vice President of Florence Operations.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SECURITY HOLDER MATTERS

(A) COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS AND PRICE RANGES:

The following table sets forth sale price and dividend in formation for each calendar quarter during the years December 31, 1994 and 1993:

QUARTER ENDED		LOW		DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE
1994				
March 31	\$15.250	\$13.625	\$13.875	\$0.2200
June 30	14.500	13.250	14.000	0.2200
September 30	14.750	13.000	13.625	0.2200
December 31	13.750	12.375	12.750	0.2200
1993				
March 31	\$15.125	\$13.000	\$14.000	\$0.2150
June 30				0.2150
September 30				0.2150
December 31	17.375	14.875	15.375	0.2150

The common stock of the Company trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "CPK".

(B) APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOLDERS OF COMMON STOCK AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1994:

	NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS
TITLE OF CLASS	OF RECORD
Common stock, par value \$.4867	1.721
σοιιιίοι στοσκ, ραι νατας φι 4007	±, , ∠±

(C) DIVIDENDS:

During the years ended December 31, 1994 and 1993, cash dividends have been declared each quarter, in the amounts set forth in the table above.

Indentures to the long-term debt of the Company and its subsidiaries contain a restriction that the Company cannot, until the retirement of its Series I Bonds, pay any dividends after December 31, 1988 which exceed the sum of \$2,135,188 plus consolidated net income recognized on or after January 1, 1989. As of December 31, 1994, the amounts available for future dividends permitted by the Series I covenant are \$5,669,300.

		FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,								
		1994			1991			1990		
		(DOL		S IN THOUS		IDS EXCEPT				
OPERATING Operating revenues Operating income Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ \$	98,572 7,227	\$	85,873 6,311	\$	75,935 5,770	\$	69,828 5,865	\$	81,256 6,019
and discontinued operations Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Income/(loss) from	\$	4,460	\$	3,914 58	\$	3,475	\$	3,095	\$	3,056
discontinued operations Net income Volumes delivered: Natural gas (million	\$	4,460	\$	3,972	\$	74 3,549	\$	(594) 2,501	\$	52 3,108
cubic feet) Propane (thousands of		22,728		19,444		17,344		16,337		17,906
gallons)		18,395		17,250		17,125		14,837		16,008
BALANCE SHEET Gross plant Net plant Total assets Long-term debt Common stockholders' equity Capital expenditures	\$ \$ \$	110,023 75,313 108,271 24,329 37,063 10,653	\$ \$ \$ \$	100,330 69,794 100,988 25,682 34,878 10,064	\$ \$ \$	91,039 64,596 89,557 25,668 33,126 6,720	\$ \$ \$	61,970 86,716 22,901 32,207	\$ \$ \$	60,807 87,432 24,671 32,302
COMMON STOCK Shares outstanding at year end Earnings per share: Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle and discontinued	3,	653, 182		,575,068	3	3,487,778	3,	, 437, 934	3,	, 414, 549
operations Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Income/(loss) from discontinued		\$ 1.23		\$ 1.10 \$ 0.02		\$ 1.00		\$ 0.90		\$ 0.90
operations Net income Cash dividends per		\$ 1.23		\$ 1.12		\$ 0.02 \$ 1.02		\$(0.17) \$ 0.73		\$ 0.01 \$ 0.91
share Book value per share		\$ 0.88 \$10.15		\$ 0.86 \$ 9.76		\$ 0.86 \$ 9.50		\$ 0.86 \$ 9.37		\$ 0.85 \$ 9.46
Common equity/Total capitalization Return on equity		60.37% 12.03%		57.59% 11.39%		56.34% 10.71%		58.44% 7.77%		56.70% 9.62%
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES		320						311		315
NUMBER OF REGISTERED STOCKHOLDERS		1,721		1,743				1,723		1,735

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's capital requirements reflect the capital intensive nature of its business and are attributable principally to its construction program and the retirement of its outstanding debt. The Company relies on cash generated

from operations and short-term borrowings to meet normal working capital

requirements and to temporarily finance capital expenditures. During 1994, the Company's net cash provided by operating activities, net cash used by investing activities and net cash used by financing activities were \$14,381,011, \$10,424,411 and \$4,720,646, respectively.

The Board of Directors has authorized the Company to borrow up to \$14,000,000 from various banks and trust companies. As of December 31, 1994, the Company had four unsecured bank lines of credit each in the amount of \$8,000,000. Funds provided from these lines of credit are used for short-term cash needs to meet seasonal working capital requirements and to fund portions of its capital expenditures. The outstanding balances of short-term borrowings at December 31, 1994 and 1993 were \$8,000,000 and \$8,900,000, respectively. Based upon anticipated cash requirements in late 1995, the Company may refinance the short-term debt through the issuance of common equity, long-term debt or a combination thereof. The timing of such an issuance is dependent upon the nature of the securities involved as well as current market and economic conditions.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)
1990	\$ 7.0
1991	5.9
1992	6.7
1993	10.1
1994	10.6

In 1994 and 1992 the Company's capital additions were funded from operations, unlike 1993 when funding was provided by operations and financing activities. In 1994, cash provided by operations increased due to the collection of a large amount of underrecovered purchase gas costs present at the end of 1993. Cash provided by operations in 1993 was lower than 1992 due, in part, to a large accounts payable amount that was outstanding at the end of 1992 and paid in 1993 along with an increase in the amount of underrecovered purchase gas costs.

During 1994, 1993 and 1992, capital expenditures were approximately \$10,653,000, \$10,064,000 and \$6,720,000, respectively. For 1995, the Company has budgeted \$16,556,000 for capital expenditures. The breakdown of this amount is \$11,682,000 for natural gas distribution, \$1,651,000 for natural gas transmission, \$1,734,000 for propane distribution, \$452,000 for information technology services and \$1,037,000 for Skipjack, Inc. and other. The natural gas and propane expenditures are for expansion and improvement of their existing service territories. The information technology services expenditures are for computer hardware, software and related equipment. The majority of expenditures for Skipjack are for construction and improvements. Financing for the 1995 construction program will be provided primarily using short-term borrowings and cash from operations. The construction program is subject to continuous review and modification. Actual construction expenditures may vary from the above estimates due to a number of factors including inflation, changing economic conditions, regulation, load growth, and the cost and availability of capital.

GROSS PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIPMENT

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)
1990	\$ 80.5
1991	85.0
1992	91.0
1993	100.3
1994	110.0
	_00.0

The Company expects to incur environmental related expenditures in the future (see Note K to the Consolidated Financial Statements), a portion of which may need to be financed through external sources.

Management does not expect such financing to have a material adverse effect on the financial position or capital resources of the Company.

Capital Structure

As of December 31, 1994, common equity represented 60.4% of permanent capitalization, compared to 57.6% in 1993 and 56.3% in 1992. The Company remains committed to maintaining a sound capital structure and strong credit ratings in order to provide the financial flexibility needed to access the capital markets when required. This commitment, along with the adequate and timely rate relief for the Company's regulated operations, helps to ensure that the Company will be able to attract capital from outside sources at a reasonable cost. The achievement of these objectives will provide benefits to customers and creditors, as well as to the Company's investors.

Financing Activities

The Company issued no long-term debt in 1994. During the first quarter of 1993, the Company issued \$10,000,000 of 7.97% senior notes due on February 1, 2008. The Company used a portion of the funds to repay the short-term borrowing balance outstanding (see Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements). In April 1993, the Company used the remaining funds, along with available short-term borrowings, to repay \$3,600,000 of the Company's 10.45% Series H First Mortgage Bonds. These Bonds were originally due April 1, 2001. During the year, the Company repaid a total of approximately \$1,291,000 of long-term debt, compared to \$5,026,000 and \$2,667,000 in 1993 and 1992, respectively. The 1992 repayment of long-term debt includes a prepayment, using available short-term borrowing, of \$900,000 to retire the Company's 12.50% Series F First Mortgage Bonds. These Bonds were originally due in 1998. The Company issued no long-term debt in 1992.

COMMON EQUITY

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)
1990	**
1991	32.2
1992	33.1
1993	34.9
1994	37.1

The Company issued 30,928, 27,942 and 12,546 shares of common stock in connection with its Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan during the years of 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively. In 1993, the Company realized an increase in the number of shares issued from the Plan due to an increase in the level of optional cash payments from existing stockholders as well as the option made available in the fourth quarter of 1992 which allows employee stock purchases through payroll deductions.

The Company began utilizing treasury stock during the second half of 1993 to fund the monthly Company matching contribution to the Retirement Savings Plan. In 1994 and 1993, 14,475 and 4,808 shares respectively, were utilized, leaving 15,609 shares of treasury stock available. In 1995, the Company anticipates the continued use of treasury stock to administer the Company's Retirement Savings Plan.

Results of Operations

Net income for 1994 was \$4,459,922, an increase of \$488,251 from 1993 earnings of \$3,971,671 which included \$57,467 for the cumulative effect of a change in accounting for income taxes (see Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements). Net income for 1993 also increased over 1992 earnings by \$423,162. Earnings for 1992 include income of \$73,500 for the reversal of the estimated loss from discontinued operations recorded in 1991 from our oil distribution business (see Note B to the Consolidated Financial

Statements). Earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") increased by \$1,456,000 or 17.5% in 1994 after increasing by \$412,000 or 5.2% in 1993.

NET INCOME

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)	
1990	\$3.11	
1991	2.50	
1992	3.55	
1993	3.97	
1994	4.46	

Natural Gas Distribution

The natural gas distribution segment contributed EBIT of approximately \$4.7 million for 1994 as compared to \$4.1 million in 1993 and \$4.4 million in 1992. The 14.1% increase in 1994 EBIT was the result of a 6.2% increase in gross margin partially offset by an increase in operating expenses. The decrease in 1993 EBIT as compared to 1992 was the result of an increase in operating expenses, offset by an increase in gross margin.

Operating revenues increased to \$49.6 million in 1994 from \$44.3 million in 1993 after increasing from \$42.0 million in 1992. Cost of gas was \$32.4 million, \$28.1 million and \$26.1 million in 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively. The 1994 increase in revenue and cost of gas is primarily due to the first full year of natural gas brokering operations for large industrial users and local distribution companies in Florida. Overall, the brokering of gas provided a minimal increase in gross margin for 1994. In addition, the Florida division has increased sales to its phosphate producing and citrus processing customers and two electric co-generation plants, which began operation in the first quarter of 1994. Despite temperatures being 6.5% warmer than last year, deliveries in the northern territories to residential and commercial customers increased 5.1% because of the timing and magnitude of colder weather in the first quarter of 1994. The 1993 increase was the result of an increase in Florida's transportation volumes. This was partially offset by a 25.7% reduction in interruptible sales volume due to competition from alternative fuel services and Florida industrial customers switching to transportation services.

REVENUES

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)
1990	\$81.3
1991	69.8
1992	75.9
1993	85.9
1994	98.6

Operating expenses increased by \$418,000 in 1994 after increasing by \$596,000 in 1993. The 1994 increase was due primarily to higher payroll, customer accounting and maintenance of meter and regulating stations offset partially by a reduction in employee pension and benefits, legal fees, regulatory expenses and the absence of the one-time expense of listing of our stock on the NYSE in the first quarter of 1993. The 1993 increase was due primarily to higher sales expenses, employee benefit expenses, legal fees and the NYSE fees for listing the Company's stock. Depreciation and property taxes increased in 1994 and 1993 due to plant additions during the years.

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS

	(THOUSANDS)
1990. 1991. 1992. 1993.	51.6 51.5 52.9

Natural Gas Transmission

The natural gas transmission operations contributed EBIT of approximately \$3.0 million for 1994 as compared to \$3.1 million in 1993 and \$2.7 million in 1992. The 2% decrease in 1994 EBIT was the result of an increase in operating expenses exceeding the slight increase in gross margin. The increase in 1993 EBIT was the result of an increase in gross margin partially offset by a slight increase in operating expenses.

Operating revenues increased to \$39.5 million in 1994 from \$37.4 million in 1993 after increasing from \$29.5 million in 1992. The 1994 and 1993 increases in operating revenues were partially offset by increases in cost of gas of \$1.9 million in 1994 and \$7.5 million in 1993. The net effect of the increases in revenue and cost of gas is an increase in gross margin of \$113,000 in 1994 and \$404,000 in 1993. However, the prior period component of the reserve for refund recorded during the second quarter of 1994 (see Note L to the Consolidated Financial Statements) has the impact of understating 1994 margins by \$412,000. This \$412,000 accrual was recorded to adjust on a cumulative basis, the 1993 and 1992 margins as originally recorded on the books. Had the reserve been recorded in the years that the revenue was actually generated, 1994 gross margin would have increased by \$871,000 over 1993, not \$113,000.

The 1994 increase in revenue was due to a combination of factors including an increase in industrial interruptible sales volumes of 33%, an increase in contract demand levels effective November 1993, partially offset by a 9.4% decrease in the commodity cost of gas, which was passed on to our customers. The majority of the industrial interruptible sales volumes increase was attributable to one industrial customer, which purchased natural gas, instead of higher price alternative fuels. The increased sales to this customer contributed \$533,000 and \$91,000 for the years 1994 and 1993, respectively. It is expected that this customer will not continue to contribute to gross margin at the 1994 levels. Deliveries to our large methanol producing industrial customer were up 8.3% over the same period last year; however, margins earned were lower. The 1993 increase in revenue was due to an increase in the cost of gas and an 81% increase in interruptible sales volumes which was primarily due to deliveries to the methonal plant.

Operating expenses increased by \$187,000 in 1994 after increasing by \$44,000 in 1993. Depreciation and taxes other than income increased in both 1994 and 1993. Depreciation expense increased from \$604,000 to \$641,000 from 1992 to 1994, primarily due to plant additions being placed in service during those periods.

BOOK VALUE PER SHARE

	(DOLLARS)
1990	\$ 9.46
1991	9.37
1992	
1993	
1994	10.15

Other taxes also increased from \$298,000 in 1992 to \$352,000 in 1994 due to property taxes associated with the above plant additions. Maintenance expenses increased by \$125,000 due to the painting of a bridge structure and cathodic protection related maintenance costs to the pipeline. Administrative expenses increased slightly over 1993 as a result of an increase in payroll, legal fees and telemetering communication expenses. This was partially offset by a reduction in sales expense, employee pension and benefits and the other administrative expenses.

In 1993, the FERC began reevaluating the methodology being used by Eastern Shore in calculating its purchased gas adjustment ("PGA"). PGA clauses provide for the adjustment of rates charged to customers as gas costs fluctuate. In May 1994, the FERC issued an Order directing Eastern Shore to refund, with interest, what the FERC characterized as overcharges from November 1992 to the current billing month. In June 1994, Eastern Shore filed a request for rehearing of the Order based upon Eastern Shore's belief that the Order essentially requires a retroactive change to the FERC approved PGA tariff. In July 1994, the FERC

issued an "Order Granting Rehearing Solely For The Purpose of Further Consideration." This Order was issued only to afford the FERC additional time for consideration of the issues raised in Eastern Shore's request for rehearing.

TOTAL CAPITALIZATION

	(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)
1990	\$57.0
1991	55.1
1992	58.8
1993	60.6
1994	61.4

Eastern Shore has indicated to the FERC staff that it intends on filing an offer of settlement to resolve all of the FERC's concerns. The ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be forecasted with any degree of certainty and accordingly Eastern Shore has accrued \$1,283,000 for the potential refund.

Propane

For 1994, the propane segment realized record earnings, achieving an increase in EBIT of \$699,000 or 44% over 1993's results. This increase in EBIT resulted from an increased gross margin, offset partially by higher operating costs. Although not as dramatic as 1994, the results for 1993 were also positive, with the segment recognizing an increase in EBIT of \$149,000 over 1992. Like 1994, the increase in 1993's EBIT was attributable to an increased gross margin partially offset by higher operating expenses.

Comprising the increase in 1994's gross margin were several factors. The segment recognized volume growth of 6.6% and a 2.7% increase in the gross margin per gallon. The volume growth was principally a result of the timing and severity of cold weather experienced in the first quarter of 1994, despite temperatures for the year being 6.5% warmer than 1993. The increase in gross margin per gallon resulted from a lower average cost per gallon, primarily a result of more competitive supply contracts, offset partially by a lower average selling price per gallon, largely due to competition. Finally, additional margin comprising approximately 7.8% of the increase in total gross margin was obtained from one large wholesale customer to which the segment supplied 9 million gallons of propane in 1994.

Operating expenses in 1994 increased by 1.7%. Of this modest increase, the majority of it represented expenses which were a direct result of the severe weather as well as normal customer growth, including salaries for service and delivery personnel and associated vehicle fuel and maintenance costs. Increased expenses were also incurred for consulting services and higher insurance claims. Partially offsetting these higher costs were lower employee benefit costs.

For 1993, the increase in gross margin resulted from a slight growth in volume, as well as an 8.3% increase in the gross margin per gallon. The volume growth was a direct result of temperatures being 1.3% colder than 1992. The increase in gross margin was the result of a reduction in the segment's average cost per gallon. Operating expenses rose approximately 8%, of which the majority corresponded to non-recurring programming costs, increased advertising expenses, and higher insurance costs. Inherent within this 8% expense growth is a recovery of bad debts expense, which was achieved as a result of improved collection procedures; a recovery was also recognized in 1994, although not to the extent of that recorded in 1993.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

	(DOLLARS)
1990. 1991. 1992. 1993.	.73 1.02 1.12

Information Technology Services and Other

The information technology services and other segment recognized an increase of \$17,000, or 10.9%, in EBIT for 1994. This increase is directly attributable to an increase in EBIT of \$169,000 for United Systems, Inc. ("USI"), partially offset by decreases in EBIT of \$130,000 and \$40,000 for Capital Data Systems, Inc. ("CDS") and Currin and Associates, Inc. ("C&A"), respectively. For 1993, the segment recognized an increase in EBIT of approximately \$536,000 over 1992. Comprising this escalation were increases in EBIT of \$474,000 and \$66,000 for CDS and USI, respectively, partially offset by a small reduction in C&A's EBIT.

Contributing to the 1994 increase in EBIT for USI were higher revenues of \$742,000, primarily relating to programming and consulting. Salaries, benefits, and other normal operating expenses for USI rose by \$573,000, in response to these increased sales. For CDS, the increase in 1994's operating expenses of \$1,127,000 surpassed the increase in revenues of \$997,000. Although a majority of these expenses are a direct result of the increase in revenue, the balance of the increased expenses represents the absence of any capitalized payroll costs for software development in 1994. Slightly offsetting these expenses were reductions in depreciation expense and employee benefit costs.

The total increase in revenues of \$997,000 for 1994 represents sales to external customers. During 1994, CDS recognized revenues of \$1,227,000 associated with the ongoing development of the natural gas distribution segment's customer billing system, UtiliCIS. This compares closely to \$1,239,000 for 1993. For these amounts, it is Chesapeake's policy to eliminate the corresponding portion of EBIT, which represented \$468,000, \$651,000, and \$257,000 for 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively. Chesapeake will reverse this eliminated EBIT once the project is complete and the natural gas distribution segment begins depreciating the system. Finally, as a result of declining revenue and business prospects, Chesapeake disposed of its investment in C&A in 1994. Contributing to the increase in 1993's EBIT for CDS were increased revenues of \$1,306,000, of which approximately \$815,000, or 62.4% correspond to intercompany billings. Offsetting this rise in revenues were increased operating expenses associated with higher programming and payroll costs as well as increased maintenance and depreciation expenses on various pieces of computer hardware. For USI, the increase in 1993's EBIT stemmed from higher consulting and programming revenues of \$743,000, partially offset by higher salaries, benefits, and consulting fees of \$677,000. In 1993, the net reduction in C&A's EBIT was minimal, approximately \$9,000 or 9.6%.

0ther

Non-operating income was approximately \$16,000 in 1994 as compared to \$265,000 in 1993. The 1994 decrease is primarily due to the disposition of its investment in Currin & Associates and the 1993 increase of \$140,000 from interest associated with upstream supplier refunds. The 1993 decrease as compared to 1992 is primarily due to a non-recurring gain of \$382,000 on the sale of Company-owned rental property which occurred in 1992, somewhat offset by the interest from upstream supplier refunds received in 1993.

DIVIDENDS PER SHARE

	(DOLLARS)
1990	
1991	
1992	
1993	
1994	. 88

Environmental Matters

The Company continues to work with federal and state environmental agencies to assess the environmental impacts and explore corrective action at several former gas manufacturing plant sites (see Note K to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The Company believes that any future costs associated with these sites will be recoverable in rates.

FERC Order 636

In April 1992, the FERC issued Order No. 636 ("Order") to be effective November 1, 1993. The Order requires that pipelines restructure their services and operations in an attempt to enhance competition and maximize the benefits of wellhead price deregulation. The restructuring will force pipelines to incur transition costs. Pipelines will be allowed to recover prudently incurred transition costs from customers after approval by the FERC.

Currently, the Company is unable to determine what future transition costs will be incurred by Eastern Shore and the effect, if any, that these costs will have on its financial position. The Company's Florida distribution division is currently being billed transition costs from Florida Gas Transmission. The Company is recovering all transition costs incurred through the purchased gas adjustment (see note L to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Competition

Historically, the Company's natural gas operations have successfully competed with other forms of energy such as electric, oil and propane. The principal considerations have been price and to a lesser extent, accessibility. However, natural gas shows great potential for increased sales as a vehicle fuel and for electric power generation because of its environmentally superior qualities, its production from domestic sources and endorsements received by government officials. Since Eastern Shore has not elected to be an "open access" pipeline, the Company is not subject to the competitive pressures, on the Delmarva Peninsula, of FERC Order No. 636.

Both the propane distribution and the information technology businesses face significant competition from a number of larger competitors having substantially greater resources available to them than the Company. In addition, in the information technology business, changes are occurring rapidly which could adversely impact the markets for the Company's products and services.

Inflation

Inflation impacts the prices the Company must pay for labor and other goods and services required for operation, maintenance and capital improvements, although in recent years, the impact of inflation has lessened. Purchased gas costs, which have been relatively stable, are passed on to customers through the purchased gas adjustment clause in the Company's tariff. To help cope with the effects of inflation on its capital investments and returns, the Company seeks rate relief from its regulatory commissions for its regulated segments and constantly monitors the returns of its unregulated business segments.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Shareholders of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1994 and 1993, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, stockholders' equity, and income taxes for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1994, and the consolidated financial statement schedules listed in Item 14(a)(1) and (2) of this Form 10-K. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimate made by Management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1994 and 1993, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1994 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, in our opinion, the consolidated financial schedules referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information required to be included therein.

As discussed in Note A to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 1993, the Company changed its method of accounting for income taxes.

We have also previously audited, in accordance with generally accepted standards, the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 1992, 1991, and 1990, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, stockholders' equity, and income taxes for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 1991, (none of which are presented herein); and we expressed unqualified opinion on those financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the Selected Financial Data section, for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 1994, is fairly stated in all material respect in relation to the financial statements from which it has been derived.

Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.

Baltimore, Maryland February 10, 1995

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	AT DECEMBER 31,		
	1994	1993	
ASSETS			
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission Propane distribution Information technology services and other Gas plant acquisition adjustments	\$ 57,773,632 24,546,916 18,289,571 8,618,014 795,004	\$ 50,132,505 24,037,676 17,574,143 7,790,865 795,004	
Total property, plant and equipment Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	110,023,137	100,330,193 (30,536,616)	
Net property, plant and equipment		69,793,577	
INVESTMENTS		1,766,826	
CURRENT ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents. Accounts receivable, net. Materials and supplies, at average cost. Propane inventory, at average cost. Storage gas prepayments. Underrecovered purchased gas costs. Income taxes receivable. Prepaid expenses. Deferred income taxes. Total current assets. DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS Intangible assets, net. Environmental cost. Order 636 transition cost. Other deferred charges.	17,582,481 	1,162,797 9,719,810 739,279 1,102,591 3,554,831 1,832,457 190,995 800,911 213,129 19,316,800 2,668,910 2,551,080 2,503,053 2,387,855	
Total deferred charges and other assets	13,733,626	10,110,898	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$108,270,617 =======	\$100,988,101 =======	

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	AT DECEMBER 31,		
		1993	
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITI	≣S		
CAPITALIZATION			
Stockholders' equity			
Common stock	\$ 1,785,514	\$ 1,754,547	
Additional paid-in capital	16,834,823	15,850,319	
Retained earnings	19,480,374 (99,842)	18,219,083	
Less: Treasury stock, at cost	(99,842)	(192,362)	
Unearned compensation related to restricted			
stock awarded	(696,679)	(663,557)	
Unrealized loss on marketable equity			
securities, net		(90,517)	
Tabal abaddhaldagal aguitus	07 000 504	04 077 540	
Total stockholders' equityLong-term debt, net of current portion	37,062,581	34,877,513	
Long-term debt, net of current portion	24,328,988		
Total capitalization			
Total capitalizacion	01,391,309		
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Current portion of long-term debt	1,348,080	1,285,946	
Short-term borrowings	8,000,000	8,900,000	
Accounts payable	7,385,590	7,002,677	
Refunds payable to customers	, 567, 817	319,818	
Accrued interest	691,949	699,801	
Dividends payable	803,700	768,640	
Other accrued expenses		2,057,335	
Total current liabilities	21 022 222		
TOTAL CUITER HADILITIES	21,022,233		
DEFERRED CREDITS AND OTHER LIABILITIES			
Deferred income taxes	8,700,472	8,392,325	
Deferred investment tax credits	986,062	1,040,877	
Environmental liability	6,642,092	1,675,000	
Order 636 transition liability	2,020,732	2,503,053	
Accrued pension costs	2,530,904	2,116,942	
Other liabilities	, ,	3,666,106	
Total deferred credits and other liabilities		19,394,303	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES			
(Notes K and L)			
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES		\$100,988,101	
	=========	========	

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,					
		1994		1993	19:	92
OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	4,459,922	\$ 3	3,971,671	\$ 3,5	48,509
method of accounting for income taxes			_	(57,467)		
Depreciation and amortization Allowance for equity funds used during construction		5,862,154 (49,154)	Ę	5,393,934	4,9	55,862
Gain on the sale of fixed assets and investments						63,542)
Investment tax credit adjustments Deferred income taxes, net		(54,815) (669,404)		(54,815) 778,896		54,815) 08,302
Employee benefits Employee compensation resulting from lapsing of stock		492,082		1,117,017		56,661
restrictions		374,121 1,238,705		367,085	9	90,453
Other, net		424,832		1,952	7	76,670
Accounts receivable		1,303,517 (979,125)	(1	1,332,217) 1,066,583		05,048) 16,493
Other deferred charges		(168,078)		(489,528)	(8	51,840)
Accounts payable		382,913 59,999	(1	1,659,248) (177,915)		08,145 21,463)
chased gas costs		1,723,432		(861,006)	(6:	17,453)
Other current liabilities		159,910		(204,856)	(6	99,454)
Net cash provided by operating activities:		14,381,011		7,860,086	11,7	47,371
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Property, plant and equipment expenditures Proceeds from the sale of Sharpoil	(10,473,565)	(10	0,023,702)	(6,6	80,166)
assets					3	93,261
Allowance for equity funds used during construction		49,154				
Net cash used by investing activities		10,424,411)				
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Common stock dividends net of amounts reinvested of \$427,190, \$409,248 and \$160,555 in 1994, 1993 and 1992,						
respectively		(2,736,388) 201,704		2,634,479) 79,017	(2,8	34,578)
line of credit agreements Proceeds from issuance of long-term		(900,000)			(1	00,000)
debt Repayments of long-term debt Payment under capital lease		(1,285,962)		0,000,000 5,025,934)	(2,6	67,388)
obligations				(102,761)		91,461)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities		(4,720,646)	2	2,515,843	(5,6	93,427)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(764,046)		352,227	(2	32,961)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR		1,162,797		810,570	1,0	43,531
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR		398,751	\$ 1			
SUDDIEMENTAL DISCLOSUPES OF CASH FLOW						

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW

INFORMATION Cash paid during the year for inter-			
est	\$ 2,652,323	\$ 2,421,764	\$ 2,760,308
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$ 3,509,034	\$ 1,099,422	\$ 1,043,378

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1994 1993			
COMMON STOCK Balancebeginning of period Dividend Reinvestment Plan USI restricted stock award agreements. Conversion of debentures	15,046 15,778	13,599 26,544	6,106 18,153	
Balanceend of period		1,754,547		
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL Balancebeginning of period Dividend Reinvestment Plan USI restricted stock award agreements. Sale of treasury stock to Company's Retirement Savings Plan Conversion of debentures	15,850,319 412,144 458,335	14,628,476 395,649 777,920 48,274	14,039,942 154,449 434,085	
Balanceend of period				
RETAINED EARNINGS Balancebeginning of period Net income Cash dividends(1)	18,219,083 4,459,922	17,309,905 3,971,671 (3,062,493)	16,756,529 3,548,509	
Balanceend of period		18,219,083		
TREASURY STOCK Balancebeginning of period Sale of treasury stock to Company's Retirement Savings Plan	(192,362)	(223,105) 30,743	(223, 105)	
Balanceend of period	(99,842)	(192,362)		
UNEARNED COMPENSATION Balancebeginning of period Issuance of award	(663,557) (474,113) 440,991	(271,332) (804,465) 412,240	0 (452,238) 180,906	
Balanceend of period	(696,679)			
UNREALIZED LOSS ON MARKETABLE SECURITIES(2)				
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		\$34,877,513 =======		

⁽¹⁾ Dividends per share of common stock were \$.88, \$.86 and \$.86 for the years

^{1994, 1993} and 1992, respectively.

(2) Net of income taxes of approximately \$160,000, \$60,000 and \$21,000 for the years 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME TAXES

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31			
	1994	1993	1992	
CURRENT INCOME TAX EXPENSE				
FederalStateInvestment tax credit adjustments, net	707,190	332,834 (54,815)	289,335 (54,815)	
Total current income tax expense		1,228,278	1,045,248	
DEFERRED INCOME TAX EXPENSE Accelerated depreciation Deferred gas costs Pensions and other employee benefits Alternative minimum tax Unbilled revenue Reserve for refund Other	(656,772) (169,731) 230,575 188,356 (580,361) 232,381	692,393 319,794 (394,161) 320,000 (274,256) 53,973 80,268	762,891 232,014 (296,059) 107,000 (29,033) 12,110 519,379	
Total deferred income tax expense (1)	(485,339)		1,308,302	
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CHANGE IN METHOD OF ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES Decrease in deferred tax assets		297, 973 (355, 440)		
Amount recognized in income		(57, 467)		
Total income tax expense				
RECONCILIATION FOR EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX RATES				
Federal income tax expense at 34% State income taxes, net of federal bene-				
fit Cumulative effect of change in method of accounting for income taxes	,	244,860 (57,467)	,	
Other		(238, 337)		
Total income tax expense	\$ 2,542,368 =======	\$ 1,968,822 =======	\$2,353,550 ======	
Effective income tax rate DEFERRED INCOME TAXES Deferred tax liabilities:	35.6%	33.1%	39.9%	
Accelerated depreciation Deferred gas costs Other Total deferred tax liabilities	36,862 961,628	693,634 852,689		
Deferred tax assets: State operating loss carryforwards, net				
Alternative minimum tax credits Deferred investment tax credit Reserve for refund Unbilled revenue Pensions and other employee benefits Self insurance Other Total deferred tax assets DEFERRED INCOME TAXES PER CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET	477,365 625,549 657,098 1,093,163 514,509 687,886	644,309 502,768 3,872 845,454 923,492 518,604 527,337		
	========			

⁽¹⁾ Total deferred income tax expense includes \$66,000, \$38,000 and \$99,000 of deferred state income taxes for the years 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (the "Company") include the Company's subsidiaries: Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company ("Eastern Shore"), Sharp Energy, Inc. ("Sharp Energy") and Chesapeake Service Company ("Chesapeake Service"). Eastern Shore's accounts include those of its wholly owned subsidiary, Dover Exploration Company ("Dover Exploration"). Sharp Energy's accounts include those of its wholly owned subsidiaries, Sharpgas, Inc. ("Sharpgas") and Sharpoil, Inc. ("Sharpoil"). Chesapeake Service's accounts include those of Capital Data Systems, Inc. ("CDS"), Currin and Associates, Inc. ("C&A"), United Systems, Inc. ("USI"), Skipjack, Inc. ("Skipjack") and Chesapeake Investment Company ("Chesapeake Investment").

All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

System of Accounts

The natural gas distribution divisions of the Company located in Delaware, Maryland and Florida are subject to regulation by the Delaware, Maryland and Florida Public Service Commissions with respect to their rates for service, maintenance of their accounting records and various other matters. Eastern Shore is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") and the Delaware Public Service Commission. The Company's financial statements are prepared on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles which give appropriate recognition to the ratemaking and accounting practices and policies of the various commissions. The propane and information technology services subsidiaries are not subject to regulation with respect to rates or maintenance of accounting records.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company's policy is to invest cash in excess of operating requirements in overnight income producing accounts. Such amounts are stated at cost which approximates market. Investments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

Property, Plant and Equipment and Depreciation

Utility property is stated at original cost while the assets of the propane subsidiary are valued at cost. The costs of repairs and minor replacements are charged as incurred to the appropriate operating expense and the costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Upon retirement or disposition of utility property, the recorded cost of removal, net of salvage value, is charged to accumulated depreciation. Upon retirement or disposition of non-utility property, the gain or loss, net of salvage value, is charged to the income statement.

The provision for depreciation is computed using the straight-line method at rates which will amortize the unrecovered cost of depreciable property over the estimated useful life. Depreciation and amortization expense for financial statement purposes is provided at an annual rate averaging 4.5% for natural gas distribution, 2.8% for natural gas transmission, 5.2% for propane distribution, 6.2% for gas plant acquisition adjustments and 14.4% for information technology services and other.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

The allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC") is an accounting procedure whereby the cost of borrowed funds and other funds used to finance construction projects is capitalized as part of utility plant on the balance sheet, crediting the cost as a non-cash item on the income statement. The cost of borrowed and equity funds is segregated between interest expense and other income, respectively. The Company used

rates of 4.23% in 1994, 3.52% in 1993 and 4.05% in 1992 for calculating AFUDC on borrowed funds. In 1994, AFUDC for equity funds was calculated using an average rate of 2.92%.

Other Deferred Charges

Other deferred charges include discount, premium and issuance costs associated with long-term debt, restricted stock earned for services performed but not yet awarded and rate case expenses. The discount, premium and issuance costs are deferred and amortized over the lives of the respective debt issues. Rate case expenses are deferred and amortized over periods approved by the applicable regulatory authorities.

Income Taxes and Investment Tax Credit Adjustments

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return. Income tax expense allocated to the individual companies is based upon their respective taxable incomes and tax credits.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the tax effect of temporary differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and is measured using current effective income tax rates. The portion of the Company's deferred tax liabilities applicable to utility operations which has not been reflected in current service rates represents income taxes recoverable through future rates.

Investment tax credits on utility property have been deferred and are allocated to income ratably over the lives of the subject property.

Effective January 1, 1993 the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes." The adoption of SFAS No. 109 changed the method of accounting for income taxes from the deferred method to the asset and liability approach. In adopting SFAS No.109, the Company elected to restate its financial statements in order to include the tax consequences on the amounts paid in excess of original cost in gas plant acquisition adjustments for prior utility acquisitions. Restated prior years' financial statements have not been presented due to the immaterial impact of SFAS No. 109. The principal effect on the Company's financial statements of adopting SFAS No. 109 was the recording of deferred regulatory assets and liabilities.

The deferred regulatory assets consist primarily of income taxes on temporary depreciation differences, which were previously flowed through to ratepayers. Deferred regulatory assets were approximately \$885,000 and \$931,000 at December 31, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The deferred regulatory liabilities primarily represent excess deferred income tax credits resulting from the reduction in the federal income tax rate and also deferred tax credits provided on investment tax credits which were previously flowed through to ratepayers. Deferred regulatory liabilities were approximately \$1,233,000 and \$1,322,000 at December 31, 1994 and 1993, respectively.

Changes in accumulated deferred income taxes related to the Company's non-regulated operations have been recorded as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle on the income statement and a deferred tax asset on the balance sheet. The result was a one-time increase to net income of \$57,467. The increase to net income resulted from a reduction in the deferred income taxes associated with depreciation, coupled with the recording of net state tax loss carryforwards. The Company has state tax loss carryforwards of \$5,529,000 and \$8,753,000 at December 31, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The Company has recorded valuation allowances of \$153,000 and \$255,000 at December 31, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The loss carryforwards expire in various years beginning in 1997 through 2007.

Operating Revenues

Revenues for the natural gas distribution divisions of the Company and a portion of Eastern Shore revenues are based on rates approved by the various commissions. Customers' base rates may not be changed without formal approval of these commissions. The Company, except for its Florida division, recognizes

revenues from meters read on a monthly cycle basis. This practice results in unbilled and unrecorded revenue from the cycle date through month-end. The Florida division recognizes revenues based on services rendered and records an amount for gas delivered but not billed.

The natural gas distribution divisions of the Company and Eastern Shore have purchased gas adjustment clauses that provide for the adjustment of rates charged to customers as gas costs fluctuate. These amounts are collected or refunded through adjustments to rates in subsequent periods.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are associated with the acquisition of non-utility companies, and are being amortized on a straight-line basis. At December 31, 1994 and 1993, the balances and amortization periods for these assets were as follows:

INTANGIBLE ASSETS	1994	1993	AMORTIZATION PERIOD
Organization costs and other	424,226 1,232,968	\$ 303,010 672,368 1,232,968 3,100,000	10 to 40 years 15 to 40 years 12 years 8 years
Less: Accumulated amortization Intangible assets, net		, ,	

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per common share are based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for each year presented. The dilutive effect of the Company's common stock equivalents related to convertible debentures is insignificant.

Reclassification of Prior Years Amounts

Certain prior years' amounts have been reclassified to conform with 1994 presentation.

B. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

During 1992, the Company consummated the sale of all the remaining assets of Sharp Energy's oil products distribution subsidiary, Sharpoil. The Company's decision to discontinue the operations of Sharpoil resulted in a charge to fourth quarter 1991 earnings of \$500,000, which represented the estimated after-tax loss on the disposal of Sharpoil's assets and the expected loss on operating the business up to the time that the assets were sold. The actual loss incurred in 1992 was \$426,500. The remaining \$73,500 of the estimated loss was reversed in the fourth quarter of 1992 and is included as income from discontinued operations in the 1992 consolidated statement of income. Sharpoil revenues in 1992 were approximately \$595,000.

C. WRITE-OFF OF INVESTMENT

In 1994, based on declining revenue and business projections, the Company disposed of its investment in Currin and Associates, Inc. ("C&A"), a rate and regulatory consulting subsidiary acquired in 1988. Revenue declined from a high of \$593,000 in 1992 to a low of \$51,000 for 1994. The disposition has resulted in a \$260,000 after tax loss recorded to Other Income and Deductions on the income statement. The loss resulted from the write-off of goodwill and the disposition of other assets.

D. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is engaged in natural gas distribution and transmission, propane distribution and information technology services. Information concerning these segments of the Company is stated below. The information provided pertains only to continuing operations except where noted otherwise. All intersegment revenues have been eliminated from consolidated revenues. During 1994 and 1992, no individual customer accounted for 10% or more of revenues. In 1993, the Company had sales to one customer which exceeded 10% of total revenue. Total sales to this industrial interruptible customer of our transmission segment were approximately \$9,600,000 or 11.2% of total revenue.

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	1994		1992		
OPERATING REVENUES, UNAFFILIATED CUS-					
TOMERS	4.0.500.740		44 000 000		
Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission	\$ 49,523,743 22,191,896	\$ 44,286,243 20,094,343	\$41,909,029 13,968,258		
Propane distribution	20,684,150	16,908,289	16,489,173		
Information technology services and other	6,172,508	4,583,757	3,568,248		
Total operating revenues,					
unaffiliated customers	\$ 98,572,297 ========	\$ 85,872,632 ========	\$75,934,708 =======		
INTERSEGMENT REVENUES					
Natural gas distribution Natural gas transmission	\$ 55,888 17,303,529	\$ 52,577 17,345,800			
Propane distribution	85,552	48,248			
Information technology services and					
other	2,277,361	2,311,498	1,575,992		
Total intersegment revenues	\$ 19,722,330 =======	\$ 19,758,123 ========			
OPERATING INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	========		========		
Natural gas distribution		\$ 4,114,683	\$ 4,350,992		
Natural gas transmission Propane distribution	3,018,212 2,287,688	3,091,843 1,588,383	2,732,068 1,440,096		
Information technology services and	2,201,000	1,300,303	1,440,090		
other	174,033	156,910	(378, 763)		
Total	10,176,592	8,951,819	8,144,393		
Less: Eliminations	(419,883)	(651, 439)	(256,510)		
Total operating income before income					
taxes		\$ 8,300,380 =======	\$ 7,887,883 ========		
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION	========				
Natural gas distribution		\$ 1,938,344	\$ 1,839,146		
Natural gas transmission Propane distribution	641,485 1,323,698	628,927 1,370,590	604,264 1,355,366		
Information technology services and					
other	1,038,517	1,149,226	875,869		
Total depreciation and amortization.					
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	========	========	=======		
Natural gas distribution	\$ 8,160,874	\$ 6,580,075	\$ 3,475,720		
Natural gas transmission	619,852	1,497,910	794,139		
Propane distribution	828,519	724,677	721,956		
other	1,044,094	1,261,125			
Total capital expenditures		\$ 10,063,787	\$ 6,720,081		
IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS	========	========	=======		
Natural gas distribution		\$ 59,404,795	\$46,550,482		
Natural gas transmission	17,792,415	18,212,489	17,605,175		
Propane distribution Information technology services and	16,949,431	18,244,020	20,417,580		
other	4,999,997	5,126,797	4,984,067		
Total identifiable assets	\$108,270,617	\$100,988,101	\$89,557,304		
	========	========	=======		

E. INVESTMENTS

The investment balance at December 31, 1994 and 1993 consists primarily of the common stock of Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPU"). The Company's ownership at December 31, 1994 and 1993 represents a 6.84% and 6.50% interest, respectively. The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115 "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" effective January 1, 1994, as required. SFAS No. 115 addresses the accounting and reporting for investments in equity securities that have readily determinable fair values. The Company has classified its investment in FPU as an "Available for Sale" security, which requires that all unrealized gains and losses be excluded from earnings and be reported net of tax as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The adoption of SFAS No. 115 had no effect on the Company's 1994 results of operation. The aggregate cost basis of the Company's portfolio at December 31, 1994 and 1993 exceeded its market value by \$401,609 and \$150,517, respectively. In management's opinion, the decline in the value of the stock is a temporary decline. At December 31, 1994 and 1993, the investment was stated at the lower of cost or market, and was reported net of tax as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

F. LEASE OBLIGATIONS

The Company has entered into several operating leases for office space at various locations. Rent expense related to these leases was \$418,043, \$439,445 and \$472,148 for 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively. Future minimum payments under the Company's lease agreements are \$361,823 in 1995; \$295,276 in 1996; \$111,041 in 1997; \$31,800 in 1998; \$33,400 in 1999 and \$96,000 thereafter.

G. LONG-TERM DEBT

The outstanding long-term debt, net of current maturities is as follows:

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1994	1993		
First mortgage sinking fund bonds: Adjustable rate Series G, due January 1,				
1998	\$ 562,500	\$ 812,500		
9.37% Series I, due December 15, 2004	5,860,000	6,380,000		
12.00% Mortgage, due February 1, 1998 10.85% Senior uncollateralized note, due	39,988	50,568		
October 1, 2003	3,636,500	4,091,000		
2014	4,230,000	4,348,000		
February 1, 2008	10,000,000	10,000,000		
Total long-term debt	\$ 24,328,988 ============	\$ 25,682,068 ===========		

The Series G bonds are subject to an interest rate equal to seventy-three percent (73%) of the prime rate (8.5% and 6.00% at December 31, 1994 and 1993, respectively).

The convertible debentures may be converted, at the option of the holder, into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$17.01 per share. During 1994, \$5,000 in debentures were converted. The debentures are redeemable at the option of the holder, subject to an annual non-cumulative maximum limitation of \$200,000 in the aggregate. As of December 31, 1994, \$113,000 of the debentures have been accepted for redemption. At the Company's option, the debentures may be redeemed at the stated amounts.

On February 9, 1993, the Company issued \$10,000,000 of 7.97% senior notes due on February 1, 2008. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to repay \$8,700,000 in short-term borrowings. On April 1, 1993, the Company repayed \$3,600,000 of the 10.45% Series H bonds. These bonds were originally due April 1, 2001.

Indentures to the long-term debt of the Company and its subsidiaries contain various restrictions. The most stringent restrictions state that the Company must maintain equity of at least 40% of total

capitalization, the times interest earned ratio must be at least 2.5 times and that the Company cannot, until the retirement of its Series I bonds, pay any dividends after December 31, 1988 which exceed the sum of \$2,135,188 plus consolidated net income recognized on or after January 1, 1989. As of December 31, 1994, the amounts available for future dividends permitted by the Series I covenant approximated \$5,669,300.

Substantially all of the natural gas distribution plant assets owned by the Company are subject to a lien under the mortgage pursuant to which the Company's first mortgage sinking fund bonds are issued.

Annual maturities of consolidated long-term debt are as follows:

YEAR	AMOUNT
1995	
1996	\$ 1,436,349
1997	\$ 1,437,771
1998	\$ 1,251,868
1999	\$ 2,174,500
2000 through 2014	\$18,028,500

H. COMMON STOCK, ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL AND TREASURY STOCK

The following is a schedule of changes in the Company's shares of common stock:

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		1993		
COMMON STOCK: SHARES ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING*				
Balancebeginning of period	3,605,152	3,522,670	3,472,826	
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	30,928	27,942	12,546	
USI restricted stock award agreements	32,418	54,540	37,298	
Conversion of debentures	293			
Balanceend of period	3,668,791			
	========	========	========	
SHARES OF COMMON STOCK HELD IN TREASURY Balancebeginning of period Sale of stock to Company's Retirement	30,084	34,892	34,892	
Savings Plan	(14,475)	(4,808)		
Balanceend of period	15,609	30,084	34,892	
	========	=======	========	

^{* 12,000,000} shares authorized at a par value \$.4867 per share.

As part of the USI acquisition, certain key USI employees entered into restricted stock award agreements under which shares of Chesapeake common stock can be issued. The issuance of these shares is treated as a non-cash transaction on the consolidated statements of cash flow. Shares are awarded over a five year period beginning in 1992, and restrictions lapse over a five to ten year period from the award date, if certain financial targets are met. Based on 1994 USI earnings, 14,138 shares of Chesapeake common stock will be issued in 1995. Of these shares, 2,828 will have no restrictions, other than those that may be imposed by federal or state securities laws.

In addition, 48,716 shares from the previous awards, originally valued at \$696,679, remain restricted and are shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity at December 31, 1994. As of December 31, 1993, 47,638 shares, valued at \$663,557, remained restricted and were shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity.

The Performance Incentive Plan, which was adopted in 1992, provides for the granting of stock options to certain officers of the Company over a 10 year period. Changes in outstanding options were as follows:

	1994		1993		1992	
	NUMBER OF SHARES	OPTION PRICE	NUMBER OF SHARES	OPTION PRICE	NUMBER OF SHARES	OPTION PRICE
Outstandingbeginning						
of period Options granted		\$12.75 \$12.625	92,525	\$12.75-\$16.33	12,245 80,280	\$16.33 \$12.75
Options expired Outstandingend of			(12,245)	\$16.33		
period Exercisable	,	\$12.625-\$12.75 \$12.75	80,280 26,760	\$12.75 \$12.75	92,525	\$12.75-\$16.33

I. SHORT-TERM BORROWING

The Board of Directors has authorized the Company to borrow up to \$14,000,000 from various banks and trust companies. As of December 31, 1994, the Company had four \$8,000,000 unsecured bank lines of credit, none of which required compensating balances.

A detail of short-term borrowings for 1994 and 1993 is as follows:

	AT DECEMBER 31,			
		1994		1993
Total authorized amount Less: Amount outstanding				(8,900,000)
Available for borrowings				
		THE YEARS END		1993
Maximum amount outstanding at any monthend Average daily amount outstanding Weighted average interest rates based on:	•	8,000,000 3,640,548		, ,
Average daily amount outstanding		4.68%		3.47%
Amount outstanding at year-end		6.04%		3.55%

J. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Retirement Savings Plan

The Company offers a Retirement Savings Plan, a 401(k) plan, which provides participants a mechanism for making contributions for retirement savings. Each participant may make pre-tax contributions based upon eligible compensation. The Company makes a contribution equal to 60% of each participant's pre-tax contributions not to exceed 3.6% of the participant's compensation for the plan year. The Company's contributions totalled \$240,103, \$227,577 and \$181,165 for the years ended December 31, 1994, 1993 and 1992, respectively.

Pension

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of its employees. Benefits under the plan are based on each participant's years of service and highest average compensation. The Company's funding policy provides that payments to the trustee shall be equal to the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

Total pension expense for the Company for 1994, 1993 and 1992 was approximately \$387,700, \$741,300 and \$763,200, respectively. Pension expense decreased in 1994 because of a combination of factors including the (1) increase in the discount rate to 7% from 6.5%; (2) decrease in the rate used for average increase in future compensation levels to 5.5% from 6%; and (3) increase in the expected long-term rate of return on assets to 8.5% from 7.5%.

Total Net Pension Cost

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1994	1993	1992	
Service cost	518,184 742,949	\$ 719,417 511,536 (1,521,228) 1,031,618	,	
Total net pension cost	\$ 387,683	_	\$ 763,219 =======	
Assumptions:				
Discount rate used in calculating total net pension cost	8.50%	7.00%	6.50%	
levels Expected long-term rate of return on	5.50%	6.00%	6.00%	
assets	8.50%	7.50%	7.50%	

The following schedule sets forth the funding status of the pension plan at December 31, 1994 and 1993:

Accrued Pension Cost

	AT DECEMBER 31,		
	1994	1993	
Vested		310,132	
Total accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 4,559,029 ======	, ,	
Plan assets at fair value Projected benefit obligation			
Plan assets in excess of projected benefit obligation	(3,590,066)	491,667 (2,372,085) (186,891)	
Accrued pension cost			

Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company sponsors a defined benefit postretirement health care and life insurance plan which covers substantially all natural gas and corporate employees. Effective January 1, 1993, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions", which requires that the expected cost of these future benefits be included in the financial statements during the years employees render service. The implementation of SFAS No. 106 resulted in an accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (transition obligation) related to past employee service of \$2,215,000. As permitted, the Company elected to amortize this cost over 20 years instead of expensing the total benefit obligation in 1993. The Company's 1993 cost under SFAS No. 106, including the amortization of the transition obligation, was \$400,000. In the first quarter of 1994, the Company increased the amount that future retirees would be required to contribute to participate in the Company's health care program. The effect of the change in future employee contributions reduced the Company's transition obligation and annual costs to \$357,000 and

\$70,000, respectively. The change also resulted in a one-time curtailment loss of \$64,000 in 1994.

The health care inflation rate for 1994 and 1993 is assumed to be 12.0%. This rate is projected to gradually decrease to an ultimate rate of 5.5% by the year 2007. A one percentage point increase in the health care inflation rate from the assumed rate would increase the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by approximately \$61,000 as of January 1, 1995, and would increase the aggregate of the service cost and interest cost components of net periodic other postretirement benefit cost for 1995 by approximately \$6,000.

The Company deferred approximately \$35,000 and \$92,000 in 1994 and 1993, respectively. These amounts represent the difference between the Maryland divisions' SFAS No. 106 expense and its actual pay-as-you-go cost. The Company expects the amount deferred would ultimately be recovered, since the Maryland Public Service Commission has allowed rate recovery for the accrual treatment of SFAS No. 106 costs in rate case decisions rendered for other utilities.

The following tables set forth the status of the plan at December 31, 1994 and 1993:

Accrued Postretirement Benefit Liability

	AT DECEMBER 31,		
	1994		
Accumulated postretirement obligation Retirees	\$ 426,624 108,444	\$ 476,607 478,580 1,381,352	
Total accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. Unrecognized transition obligation Net gain after recognizing change in discount rate Unrecognized net gain	605,166	2,336,539 (2,110,000) 137,800	
Accrued postretirement benefit liability	\$ 416,072 ======	\$ 364,339	
let Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost			

		_		
Net	Periodic	Postretirement	Renefit	Cost

	1994		1993
Service cost	\$ 3,553 44,118 22,148 63,821	\$	119,000 176,000 105,000
our calliment 1033	 		
NET PERIODIC POSTRETIREMENT COSTAmount capitalized as construction cost	133,640 (20,134) (34,969)		400,000 (52,112) (92,499)
Amount charged to expense	78,537 ======	\$	255,389 ======
ASSUMPTION:			
Discount rate used in calculating net periodic postretirement cost	8.50%		7.00%

AT DECEMBER 31.

Other Post Employment Benefits

In 1994, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits", as required. SFAS No. 112 establishes standards of financial accounting and reporting for the estimated cost of benefits provided by an employer to former or inactive employees after employment but before retirement. The adoption of SFAS No. 112 did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.

K. ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company currently is participating in the investigation, assessment, or remediation of several former gas manufacturing plant sites, located in different jurisdictions, including the exploration of corrective action options to remove environmental contaminants. The Company has accrued liabilities for two of these sites, the Dover Gas Light and Salisbury Town Gas Light sites.

The Dover site has been listed by the Environmental Protection Agency Region III ("EPA") on the Superfund National Priorities List under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"). In 1993, both the Company and EPA proposed remedial action plans for the site. In the fourth quarter of 1993, the Company recorded a liability of \$700,000, representing the estimated liability for future environmental expenditures associated with the Company's proposed plan, which was the least extensive remedial alternative set forth in the two plans. The EPA plan proposed a more extensive remediation, estimated to cost \$4.9 million. On August 19, 1994, the EPA issued the Record of Decision ("ROD") for the site. The ROD selected a remedial plan that differed from both proposals, and estimated the costs of the selected remedy at \$2.7 million for groundwater remediation and \$3.3 million for soil remediation. In January 1995, Chesapeake submitted to the EPA a good faith proposal to perform a substantial portion of the work set forth in the ROD, which was subsequently rejected. It is unknown whether any other potentially responsible party ("PRP") will submit good faith proposals, what such proposals might include, and whether EPA would accept such proposals. Under CERCLA, EPA may reject any of the proposals, and seek an administrative or court order to require any or all of the PRPs to implement the work. EPA may also do the work itself and seek recovery of its costs in court.

In the third quarter of 1994, the Company increased its liability recorded with respect to the Dover site to \$6.0 million. This amount reflects EPA's present estimate, as stated in the ROD, for remediation of the site according to the ROD. The Company and EPA are each attempting to secure voluntary performance of part of the remediation by other parties. These parties include the State of Delaware, which is the owner of the property and was identified in the ROD as a PRP, and a local business identified in the ROD as a PRP for having contributed to groundwater contamination. On March 6, 1995, in order to protect its interests, the Company filed suit in federal court for the determination that the State of Delaware is a liable party and for recovery from the State of costs of compliance with the ROD. The Company is also considering a suit against other potentially responsible parties. In addition, EPA has stated that it will take steps to secure prompt commencement of the remedial design phase needed to implement the ROD. The Company therefore anticipates further negotiations to resolve these matters among the parties and with the government. Management is also evaluating the ROD to determine the most economic approach to implementation of the remedies selected in the ROD. Favorable developments in these matters would be accompanied by appropriate reductions to the liability recorded as they occur.

The Company also increased the corresponding regulatory asset to \$6.0 million. If the Company incurs expenses of that amount in connection with undertaking the remedies selected in the ROD, management believes that the Company will be equitably entitled to contribution from other responsible parties for the greater part of these expenses. Management also believes that any amounts not so contributed will be recoverable in the Company's rates.

The Company has accrued a liability with respect to the Salisbury site of \$642,092 as of December 31, 1994. This amount is based on the estimated capital and operating cost as set forth in the Company's remedial action plan submitted to the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE"). A corresponding regulatory asset has been recorded, reflecting the Company's belief that costs incurred will be recoverable in rates. The Company has begun preliminary remediation procedures at the site and continues discussions with MDE to finalize the remedial plan. The current liability has been reduced from the liability of \$975,000 recorded in the fourth quarter of 1993, reflecting revised estimates of the scope of remediation, as determined by MDE.

Portions of the liability payouts for the Dover and Salisbury sites are expected to be over a thirty year and five year period, respectively. In addition, the Company has two other sites currently being evaluated for which no estimate of liability can be made at this time. It is management's opinion that any current costs not currently recovered and any future costs incurred will be recoverable through future rates or sharing arrangements with other responsible parties.

The following table summarizes total environmental liabilities and associated regulatory assets:

Environmental Liabilities and Regulatory Assets

	AT DECEMBER 31,	
	1994	1993
Delaware		
Maryland	642,092	975,000
	\$6,642,092	\$1,675,000
	========	========

The following table summarizes the total costs incurred related to environmental testing and remedial action:

Environmental Costs Incurred

	AT DECEMBER 31,	
	1994	1993
Delaware	\$3,144,366	\$2,814,561
Maryland		
Florida	594,844	610,355
	\$5,461,967	\$4,676,600
	=======	=======

Of the total costs incurred and regulatory assets above, the following table summarizes the balances of environmental costs which have not been recovered through insurance proceeds or ratemaking:

Environmental Costs Not Recovered

	AT DECEMBER 31,	
	1994	1993
Delaware	\$6,820,555	\$1,576,080
Maryland	1,608,333	1,515,813
Florida		59,253
Total		3,151,146
Less: Amount charged to accumulated depreciation as	0, 120,000	0, 202, 210
cost of removal	966,241	(600,066)
Total Environmental Cost		
	========	========

L. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Delaware Public Service Commission Order Asserting Jurisdiction Over Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company

On February 11, 1992, the Delaware Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an Order that declared Eastern Shore to be a "public utility" under

Delaware law subject to the Commission's jurisdiction in connection with Eastern Shore's direct retail sales of natural gas to end users in the State of Delaware. The Order initiated a proceeding to consider the extent to which public utility regulatory supervision should be imposed upon the direct sales of Eastern Shore within the State of Delaware. On May 17, 1994 the

Company filed with the commission a proposal for a limited level of regulation. On October 4, 1994, the Commission issued an Order essentially agreeing with a level of regulation limited to the following: accepting and considering complaints from customers, requiring Eastern Shore to file annual and other periodic reports and requiring Eastern Shore to pay the annual public utility tax. The Order will not have a material effect on Eastern Shore's financial position or results of operations.

FERC Order No. 636

The Company is served by three direct natural gas pipelines: Columbia Gas Transmission ("Columbia"), Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation ("Transco") and Florida Gas Transmission Company ("FGT"). Columbia and Transco serve the Company's natural gas transmission subsidiary, Eastern Shore, which in turn serves the Company's local distribution companies ("LDC") located in Delaware and Maryland. FGT serves the Company's LDC in Central Florida. In connection with the issuance of Order No. 636 ("Order") by the FERC in April 1992, pipelines will incur four types of transition costs in implementing the unbundled service requirement of the Order: (1) gas supply realignment costs (the cost of renegotiating existing gas supply contracts with producers); (2) unrecovered purchased gas adjustment ("PGA") costs (gas costs remaining in the pipelines' PGA account at the time they cease the merchant function); (3) stranded costs (unrecovered costs of assets that cannot be assigned to customers of unbundled services); and (4) new facilities costs (costs of new facilities required to physically implement the Order). In order to recover prudently incurred transition costs from its customers, each pipeline is required to file for and obtain FERC approval. Eastern Shore, based on FERC proceedings involving the recovery of gas purchased and related costs, believes that transition costs passed on from pipelines will be similarly recoverable through the Eastern Shore PGA mechanism for their FERC regulated sales. Eastern Shore also has direct sales customers whose rates are currently not regulated. All transition costs allocated to these non-regulated sales would be required to be expensed when known and measurable. Eastern Shore has received notification that FERC has approved Columbia's request for recovery of transition costs related to PGA costs. Eastern Shore's portion of these costs is \$180,924. On April 1, 1994, Columbia started to invoice the amount in equal installments of \$15,077 over the next twelve months. Accordingly, in the second quarter, the jurisdictional amount of \$150,514 was recorded as a liability and a regulatory asset. The liability is reduced each month as payment is made and the asset is reduced as the costs are recorded to the PGA. The balance for both accounts at December 31, 1994 is \$38,000. The remaining amount assigned to the non-regulated sales was expensed in 1994. The Company is unable to estimate Eastern Shore's portion of any additional future transition costs that may be assigned by Transco and Columbia until FERC approves their request for recovery. In the opinion of management, it is not possible to determine the effect, if any, that any transition costs incurred in the future would have on Eastern Shore's financial position or results of operations. FGT has incurred transition costs in the form of gas supply realignment costs and the FERC has approved recovery of prudently incurred costs from FGT's customers, such as the Company's LDC in Central Florida, through a demand charge beginning November 1, 1993 and extending for five years. The Company estimates that its portion of the transition costs on December 31, 1994 and 1993 are \$1,983,000 and \$2,503,000, respectively, of which \$520,000 is due within one year. During 1994 the Company received approval of the PGA rate which includes recovery of the transition costs. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a regulatory asset equivalent to the liability established for transition costs at December 31, 1994 and 1993.

FERC PGA

On May 19, 1994, the FERC issued an Order directing Eastern Shore to refund, with interest, what the FERC characterized as overcharges from November 1, 1992 to the current billing month. The Order also directed Eastern Shore to file a report showing how the refund was calculated, and to revise tariff language clarifying the PGA provisions of its tariff.

Eastern Shore filed a request for rehearing of the Order on June 20, 1994 based on what Eastern Shore believes is the FERC's erroneous interpretation of Eastern Shore's tariff. It is Eastern Shore's position that

the FERC's Order essentially requires a retroactive change to the FERC approved PGA procedures which Eastern Shore has consistently applied over the last six years. Such retroactive application is a violation of Sections 4 and 5 of the Natural Gas Act.

On June 21, 1994, in compliance with the FERC's Order, Eastern Shore filed: (1) revised tariff sheets clarifying its PGA methodology and (2) two alternative refund calculations based on the FERC's Order. The two alternatives were filed due to what Eastern Shore believes to be an inconsistency or contradiction with respect to the FERC's language in its Order. On July 18, 1994 the FERC issued an "Order Granting Rehearing Solely for the Purpose of Further Consideration." Such Order was issued only to afford the FERC additional time for consideration of the issues raised in Eastern Shore's request for rehearing. As of the date of this report, the FERC has not approved either of the alternative refund calculations submitted by Eastern Shore and has not made a final determination as to Eastern Shore's request for rehearing. Accordingly, Eastern Shore accrued \$412,000 in the second quarter of 1994, relating to prior periods. Eastern Shore has also accrued an amount each month in 1994 to insure fully funding the potential refund. The total accrued liability at December 31, 1994 is \$1,239,000.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in certain legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of business. The Company is also involved in certain legal and administrative proceedings before various governmental agencies concerning rates. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

M. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

In the opinion of the Company, the quarterly financial information shown below includes all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the operations for such periods. Due to the seasonal nature of the Company's business, there are substantial variations in operations reported on a quarterly basis.

FIRST

SECOND

THIRD

FOURTH

	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER
1994				
Operating Revenue	\$ 4,322,605 \$ 3,746,087	\$ 588,550 \$ (116,584)	\$ 296,110 \$ (264,773)	\$ 2,019,809 \$ 1,095,192
Operating Revenue Operating Income Net Income (Loss) Net Income (Loss) Per Share	\$ 3,632,209 \$ 2,975,258	\$ 600,606 \$ (13,722)	\$ (67,466) \$ (679,042)	\$ 2,145,744 \$ 1,689,177

Effective January 1, 1993, the Company adopted SFAS No. 109 as discussed in Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The first quarter of 1993 includes an increase in net income of \$57,467 (\$0.02 per share) for the one-time cumulative effect of the accounting change.

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
		1993	1992	1991	1990
REVENUES (IN THOUSANDS) Natural gas					
Residential Commercial Industrial Sale for resale Transportation Other	11,594 32,718 9,586 2,639	5,242 2,480 193	9,857 26,977	3,437 1,555 44	8,794 37,617 2,798 588
Total natural gas revenues Propane Other	17,789*	16,908 4,584	16,489	14,961 3,398	61,293 17,539 2,424
Total revenues	\$95,677	\$85,873		\$69,828	\$81,256
VOLUMES Natural gas deliveries (in MMCF) Residential Commercial Industrial Sale for resale Transportation	998	984	1,633 8,014 997	8,396 922 4,237	1,407 11,508 796
Total natural gas deliveries	22,728	19,444			
Propane (in thousands of gallons)			17,125 ======		
CUSTOMERS Natural gas Residential Commercial Industrial** Sale for resale**	3,879	3,759 196 3			3,428 184 3
Total natural gas customers Propane	32,346	31,270 21,622	30,407	29,464 22,145	28,479 22,629
Total customers	54,526	52,892		51,609	51,108

^{*} Excludes revenue of \$2,895,000, which resulted from the sale of 9 million gallons of propane to one large wholesale customer in 1994.

 $[\]star\star$ Includes transportation customers.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information pertaining to the Directors of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement, under "Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Nominees", dated and to be filed on or before April 13, 1995 in connection with the Company's Annual Meeting to be held on May 16, 1995.

The information required by this item with respect to executive officers is, pursuant to instruction 3 of paragraph (b) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K, set forth in Item 10 of Part I of this Form 10-K under "Executive Officers of the Registrant."

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This information is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement, under "Management Compensation", dated and to be filed on or before April 13, 1995 in connection with the Company's Annual Meeting to be held on May 16, 1995

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

This information is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement, under "Beneficial Ownership of the Company's Securities", dated and to be filed on or before April 13, 1995 in connection with the Company's Annual Meeting to be held on May 16, 1995.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

This information is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement, under "Beneficial Ownership of the Company's Securities", dated and to be filed on or before April 13, 1995 in connection with the Company's Annual Meeting to be held on May 16, 1995.

PART IV

ITEM 14. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:
 - 1. Financial Statements:
 - --Auditors' Report dated February 10, 1995 of Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P., Independent Auditors
 - --Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years ended December 31, 1994, 1993 and 1992
 - --Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 1994 and December 31, 1993
 - --Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 1994
 - --Consolidated Statements of Common Shareholders' Equity for each of the three years ended December 31, 1994
 - --Consolidated Statements of Income Taxes for each of the three years ended December 31, 1994
 - --Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
 - 2. The following additional information for the years 1994, 1993 and 1992 is submitted herewith:
 - --Schedule II--Valuation and Qualifying Account

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, inapplicable, or the information is otherwise shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

- (b) No reports on Form 8-K were required to be filed during the fourth quarter of 1994.
 - (c) Exhibits
 - Exhibit 3. (a) --Articles of Incorporation
 Amended Articles of Incorporation of Chesapeake Utilities
 Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit
 3.(b) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
 December 31, 1993, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
 - Exhibit 3. (b) --Bylaws
 Amended Bylaws of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, filed herewith.
 - Exhibit 4. (a) --The Form of Indenture between the Company and Boatmen's Trust Company, Trustee, with respect to the 8 1/4% Convertible Debentures is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-2, Reg. No. 33-26582, filed on January 13, 1989.
 - Exhibit 4. (b) --Note Agreement dated February 9, 1993, by and between the Company and Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company and MML Pension Insurance Company, with respect to \$10,000,000 7.97% Unsecured Senior Notes due February 1, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.(b) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
 - Exhibit 10. (a) --Service Agreement dated November 1, 1989, by and between Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation and Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
 - Exhibit 10. (b) --Service Agreement dated November 1, 1989, by and between Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation and Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(b) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
 - Exhibit 10. (c) --Service Agreement for General Service dated November 1, 1989, by and between Florida Gas Transmission Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(c) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (d) --Service Agreement for Preferred Service dated November 1, 1989, by and between Florida Gas Transmission Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(d) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (e) --Service Agreement for Firm Transportation Service dated November 1, 1989, by and between Florida Gas Transmission Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(e) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (f) --Form of Service Agreement for Interruptible Sales Services dated May 11, 1990, by and between Florida Gas Transmission Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(f) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (g) --Interruptible Transportation Service Agreement dated February 23, 1990, by and between Florida Gas Transmission Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(g) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

- Exhibit 10. (h) --Interruptible Transportation Service Agreement dated
 November 30, 1990, by and between Florida Gas Transmission
 Company and Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, is incorporated
 herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(h) to the Annual Report on
 Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, of Chesapeake
 Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (i) --Executive Employment Agreement dated March 26, 1992, by and between Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Ralph J. Adkins is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(a) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1992, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (j) --Executive Employment Agreement dated March 26, 1992, by and between Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and John R. Schimkaitis, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(b) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1992, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (k) --Executive Employment Agreement dated March 26, 1992, by and between Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Wayne L. Hart, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(c) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1992, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (1) --Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Cash Bonus Incentive Plan dated January 1, 1992, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.(0) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
- Exhibit 10. (m) --Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Performance Incentive Plan dated January 1, 1992, is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement dated April 20, 1992, in connection with the Company's Annual Meeting held on May 19, 1992.
- Exhibit 10. (n) --Form of Tandem Stock Option and Performance Share Agreement dated November 18, 1994, pursuant to Chesapeake Utilities
 Corporation Performance Incentive Plan by and between
 Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Ralph J. Adkins, John R. Schimkaitis, Kenneth H. Dean, Philip S. Barefoot and Jerry D. West, filed herewith.
- Exhibit 11. -- Computation of Primary and Fully Diluted Earnings Per Share, filed herewith.
- Exhibit 12. -- Computation of Ratio of Earning to Fixed Charges, filed herewith.
- Exhibit 22. -- Subsidiaries of the Registrant, filed herewith.
- Exhibit 23. -- Consent of Independent Accountants, filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION HAS DULY CAUSED THIS REPORT TO BE SIGNED ON ITS BEHALF BY THE UNDERSIGNED, THEREUNTO DULY AUTHORIZED.

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

By /s/ Ralph J. Adkins

RALPH J. ADKINS PRESIDENT AND
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Date: March 30, 1995

PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, THIS REPORT HAS BEEN SIGNED BELOW BY THE FOLLOWING PERSONS ON BEHALF OF THE REGISTRANT AND IN THE CAPACITIES AND ON THE DATES INDICATED.

SIGNATURES	TITLE	DATE
/s/ John W. Jardine, Jr. JOHN W. JARDINE, JR.	Chairman of the Board and Director	March 30, 1995
/s/ Ralph J. Adkins RALPH J. ADKINS	President, Chief Executive Officer	March 30, 1995
/s/ John R. Schimkaitis JOHN R. SCHIMKAITIS	Senior Vice President & Assistant Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 30, 1995
/s/ Richard Bernstein	Director	March 30, 1995
RICHARD BERNSTEIN		
/s/ Walter J. Coleman	Director	March 30, 1995
WALTER J. COLEMAN		
/s/ Rudolph M. Peins, Jr.	Director	March 30, 1995
RUDOLPH M. PEINS, JR.		
/s/ Robert F. Rider ROBERT F. RIDER	Director	March 30, 1995
/s/ Jeremiah P. Shea	Director	March 30, 1995
JEREMIAH P. SHEA		
/s/ William G. Warden, III WILLIAM G. WARDEN, III	Director	March 30, 1995

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994, 1993 AND 1992

COLUMN A	COLUMN B	COLUM	IN C	COLUMN D	COLUMN E			
	ADDITIONS							
DESCRIPTION	BEGINNING	CHARGED TO COSTS AND EXPENSE	OTHER	DEDUCTIONS	BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD			
Valuation accounts deducted from assets to which they apply for doubtful accounts receivable. The year ended December								
31, 1994: The year ended December	\$186,018	\$130,263	\$57,633(B)	\$(171,762)(A)	\$202,152			
31, 1993:	\$239,019	\$ 82,672	\$66,246(B)	\$(201,919)(A)	\$186,018			
The year ended December 31, 1992:	\$554,188	\$152,624	\$37,872(B)	\$(505,665)(A,C)	\$239,019			

⁽A) Uncollectible accounts charged off.

⁽B) Recoveries.

⁽C) Recovery of propane accounts previously considered to be uncollectible (\$315,500).

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION

BYLAWS

(Including revisions through February 17, 1995)

ARTICLE I

OFFICES

1.1 Registered Office. The address of the Corporation's registered office ------in the State of Delaware is 1013 Centre Road in the City of Wilmington, in the County of New Castle, Delaware 19805. The name of the Corporation's registered agent at such address is Corporation Service Company.

1.2 Other Offices. The Corporation may also have offices at such other places as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine or the business of the Corporation may require.

ARTICLE II

STOCKHOLDERS' MEETINGS

- 2.1 Location of Meetings. Annual and special meetings of the stockholders shall be held at such place within or without the State of Delaware, as the Directors may, from time to time, fix. Whenever the Directors shall fail to fix such place, the meeting shall be held at the principal office of the Corporation in the State of Delaware.
- 2.2 Annual Meeting. The annual meeting of stockholders shall be held on the third Tuesday in May in each year if not a legal holiday, and if a legal holiday, then on the next business day following, at 10:00 o'clock A.M., for the election of Directors and for the transaction of such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting; provided, however, that if the annual meeting of stockholders is not held on the day fixed therefor, the Directors shall cause the meeting to be held as soon thereafter as is convenient.
- 2.3 Notice of Annual Meeting. Written notice of the annual meeting shall be served upon or mailed to each stockholder entitled to vote thereat at such address as appears on the books of the Corporation, at least ten but not more than sixty days prior to the meeting. Such notice shall state the location, date and hour of the meeting, but the notice need not specify the business to be transacted thereat.
- 2.4 Special Meetings. Special meetings of the stockholders for any purpose or purposes, unless otherwise provided by law or by the Certificate of Incorporation, may be called by the President and shall be called by the President or Secretary at the request in

writing of a majority of the Board of Directors, and not at the request of any other person or persons. Such request must state the purpose or purposes of the proposed meeting.

- 2.5 Notice of Special Meetings. Written notice of a special meeting shall be served upon or mailed to each stockholder entitled to vote thereat at such address as appears on the books of the Corporation, at least ten but not more than sixty days prior to the meeting. Such notice shall state the location, date and hour of the meeting and shall describe the order of business to be addressed at the meeting. Business transacted at all special meetings shall be confined to the objects stated in the notice.
- 2.6 Presiding Officer at Stockholder Meetings. The Chair of the Board shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders, provided that the Chair may designate the President to preside in the Chair's stead. In the Chair's absence, the President shall preside, and in the absence of both, the Board shall appoint a person to preside.
- 2.7 Quorum; Adjournment. The holders of a majority of the stock issued ----and outstanding and entitled to vote thereat, present in person or represented by proxy, shall be requisite and shall constitute a quorum at all meetings of the stockholders for the transaction of business, except as otherwise provided by law, the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws. If such quorum shall not be present or represented at any meeting of the stockholders, the presiding officer of the meeting or the majority of the stockholders entitled to vote thereat, present in person or represented by proxy, shall have the power to adjourn the meeting from time to time until a quorum shall be present or represented. Even if a quorum is present or represented at any meeting of the stockholders, the presiding officer of the meeting, for good cause, or the majority of the stockholders entitled to vote thereat, present in person or represented by proxy, shall have the power to adjourn the meeting from time to time. If the time and place of the adjourned meeting are announced at any meeting at which an adjournment is taken, no further notice of the adjourned meeting need be given; provided, however, that if the adjournment is for more than thirty days, or if after the adjournment a new record date for the adjourned meeting is fixed by the Board of Directors, notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder entitled to vote at the meeting. At the adjourned meeting the corporation may transact any business which might have been transacted at the original meeting.
- 2.8 Vote Required. In all matters other than the election of Directors,
 the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter shall decide any question brought before a meeting unless the question is one upon which by express provision of the Certificate of Incorporation or of these Bylaws, or by law,

a different vote is required in which case such express provision shall govern and control the decision of such question. Directors shall be elected, by ballot, by a plurality of the votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the election of directors.

- 2.9 Voting; Proxies. At any meeting of the stockholders every holder of
- shares entitled to vote thereat shall be entitled to vote in person, or by proxy appointed by an instrument in writing subscribed by such stockholder and bearing a date not more than three years prior to said meeting, unless said instrument provides for a longer period. Each stockholder shall have one vote for each share of stock having voting power, registered in such stockholder's name on the books of the Corporation, and except where the transfer books of the Corporation shall have been closed or a date shall have been fixed as a record date for the determination of its stockholders entitled to vote, no share of stock shall be voted on at any election of Directors which shall have been transferred on the books of the Corporation within twenty days next preceding such election of Directors.
 - 2.10 Stockholder Lists. At least ten days before every meeting of the

stockholders, a complete list of the stockholders entitled to vote at said meeting, arranged in alphabetical order, with the residence of each and the number of voting shares held by each, shall be prepared by the Secretary. Such list shall be open for said ten days to examination by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the meeting during regular business hours at the place where the meeting is to be held, or at such other place within the city in which the meeting is to be held as shall be specified in the notice of the meeting, and also shall be produced and kept at the time and place of the meeting, during the whole time thereof, and may be inspected by any stockholder who is present.

2.11 Action Without Meeting. No action required to be taken or which may

be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders may be taken without a meeting, and the power of stockholders to consent in writing to the taking of any action is specifically denied.

ARTICLE III

DIRECTORS

 $3.1\,$ Powers. The property and business of the Corporation shall be managed

by its Board of Directors which may exercise all such powers of the Corporation and do all such lawful acts and things as are not by law or by the Certificate of Incorporation or by these Bylaws directed or required to be exercised or done by the stockholders.

 ${\tt 3.2}\,$ Composition of the Board. The number of Directors which shall

constitute the Board shall be nine, divided into three classes, as specified in the Certificate of Incorporation. Directors shall be elected at the annual meeting of the stockholders, and each Director shall be elected to serve until such Director's successor shall be elected and shall qualify; provided, however, no person who shall have attained the age of 75 years by the date of election shall be eligible for election as a Director of the Corporation. If not already a stockholder at the time of assuming office, each Director shall purchase at least one share of the Corporation's common stock within a reasonable time thereafter. The Board of Directors at its first meeting after each annual meeting of stockholders shall elect the Chair of the Board who shall perform such duties as are specified in these Bylaws or are properly required of the Chair by the Board of Directors.

3.3 Nominations. Nominations for the election of Directors may be made by

the Board or by any stockholder entitled to vote for the election of Directors. Nominations proposed by the Board shall be given by the Chair on behalf of the Board. Nominations by stockholders shall be in writing, and in the form prescribed below, and shall be effective when delivered by hand or received by registered first-class mail, postage prepaid, by the Secretary of the Corporation not less than 14 days nor more than 80 days prior to any meeting of the stockholders called for the election of Directors; provided, however, that if less than 21 days notice of the meeting is given to stockholders, such writing shall be received by the Secretary of the Corporation not later than the close of the seventh day following the day on which notice of the meeting was mailed to stockholders. Nominations by stockholders shall be in the form of a notice which shall set forth (a) as to each nominee (i) the name, age, business address and, if known, residence address of such nominee (ii) the principal occupation or employment of such nominee (iii) the number of shares of stock beneficially owned by the nominee (iv) the consent of the nominee to serve as a Director of the Corporation if so elected (v) a description of all arrangements or understandings among the stockholder and the nominee and any other person or persons pursuant to which the nomination is to be made by the stockholder and (vi) any other information relating to the nominee required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of Directors, or otherwise required pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (b) as to the stockholder giving the notice (i) the name and address, as they appear on the Corporation's books, of such stockholder and (ii) the number of shares beneficially owned by such stockholder. The presiding officer of the meeting may, if the facts warrant, determine and declare to the meeting that a nomination was not made in accordance with the foregoing procedure, and if the presiding officer should so determine, the presiding officer shall so declare to the meeting and the defective nomination shall be disregarded.

- 3.4 Vacancy. If the office of any Director becomes vacant by reason of death, resignation, retirement, disqualification, removal from office, or otherwise, a majority of the remaining Directors, though less than a quorum, shall choose a successor, who shall hold office until the next election of the class for which such Director shall have been chosen, and until such Director's successor shall be elected and qualified.
- 3.5 Resignation. Any Director of the Corporation may resign from the Board of Directors at any time by giving written notice to the President or to the Secretary of the Corporation. The resignation shall be effective at the time stated therein, and unless otherwise specified, the acceptance of such resignation shall not be necessary to make it effective.
- 3.6 Meetings Generally. The Board of Directors may hold meetings, both regular and special, at such times and places either within or without the State of Delaware as shall from time to time be determined by the Board.
- 3.7 Regular Meetings. Regular meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held at such times and places as shall be fixed by resolution of the Board. No notice shall be required for regular meetings held pursuant to such resolution, except that the Secretary of the Corporation shall promptly provide a copy of such resolution to any Director who is absent when such resolution is adopted. In case any scheduled meeting of the Board is not held on the day fixed therefor, the Directors shall cause the meeting to be held as soon thereafter as is convenient. At such regular meetings directors may transact such business as may be brought before the meeting.
- 3.8 Special Meetings. Special meetings of the Board may be called by the Chair of the Board or by the President by twenty-four (24) hours notice to each Director, either personally, by telephone, by mail, or by telegram; special meetings shall be called by the Chair of the Board, the President or the Secretary in like manner and on like notice on the written request of two Directors.
- 3.10 Organization. The Chair of the Board shall preside at all meetings of the Board, provided that the Chair may designate the President to preside in the Chair's stead. In the Chair's absence the President shall preside, and in the absence of both,

the Board shall appoint a person to preside. The Secretary of the Corporation, or if the Secretary is not present, one of the Assistant Secretaries, in the order determined by the Board, or if an Assistant Secretary is not present, a person designated by the Board, shall take the minutes of the meeting.

- 3.11 Quorum; Adjournment. At all meetings of the Board five Directors shall be necessary and sufficient to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business and the act of a majority of the Directors present at any meeting at which there is a quorum shall be the act of the Board of Directors, except as may be otherwise specifically provided by law or by the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws. Whether or not a quorum is present at any meeting of the Board, a majority of the Directors present thereat may adjourn the meeting from time to time, without notice other than announcement at the meeting.
- 3.12 Participation by Telephone. Any one or more Directors may participate in a meeting of the Board or any committee thereof by conference telephone or similar communications equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other at the same time. Participation in a meeting by such means shall be deemed attendance in person at that meeting.
- 3.13 Action Without Meeting. Any action required to be taken or which may be taken at any meeting of the Board or any committee thereof may be taken without a meeting if all members of the Board or committee, as the case may be, consent thereto in writing, and such writing or writings are filed with the records of the meetings of the Board or of the committee, as the case may be. Any action taken pursuant to such consent shall be treated for all purposes as the act of the Board or committee.
- 3.14 Appointment of Committees. The Board of Directors may, by resolution passed by a majority of the whole Board, designate one or more special or standing committees, each committee to consist of two or more of the Directors of the Corporation. The Board of Directors may designate one or more Directors as alternate members of any committee to replace any absent or disqualified member at any meeting of the committee.
- 3.15 Meetings of Committees. Regular and special meetings of any committee established pursuant to this Article may be called and held subject to the same requirements with respect to time, place and notice as are specified in these Bylaws for regular and special meetings of the Board of Directors. At all committee meetings, a majority of the members of the committee shall be necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, and the act of a majority of committee members present at a meeting at which there is a quorum shall be the act of the committee.

 ${\tt 3.16}$ Powers of Committees. Committees of the Board of Directors, to the

extent provided in the Board resolution or permitted by law, shall have and may exercise the powers of the Board of Directors, in the management of the business and affairs of the Corporation, and may have power to authorize the Seal of the Corporation to be affixed to all papers which may require it. Such committee or committees shall have such name or names as may be determined from time to time by resolution of the Board. Except as the Board of Directors may otherwise determine, a committee may make rules for its conduct, but unless otherwise provided by the Board or such rules, its business shall be conducted as nearly as possible in the same manner as is provided in these Bylaws for the conduct of business by the Board of Directors. Each committee shall keep regular minutes of its proceedings and report the same to the Board of Directors when required.

3.17 Compensation of Directors. Directors shall be reimbursed for

reasonable expenses, if any, of attendance at each meeting of the Board of Directors and may be paid other compensation in whatever form and amount the Board of Directors, by resolution, shall determine to be reasonable. Members of special or standing committees may be allowed like compensation and reimbursement for participation in committee meetings. Nothing contained in this section shall be construed to preclude any Director from serving the Corporation in any other capacity, as officer, agent, employee or otherwise, and being compensated for such service.

ARTICLE IV

NOTICES

4.1 Generally. Whenever under the provisions of the Certificate of

Incorporation or these Bylaws, or by law, notice is required to be given to any Director or stockholder, it shall not be construed to require personal notice, but such notice may be given in writing, by mail or by courier service, by depositing the same in a post office or letter box, or with a courier service, in a post-paid sealed wrapper, addressed to such Director or stockholder at such address as appears on the books of the Corporation, or, in default of other address, to such Director or stockholder at the last known address of such person, and notice shall be deemed to be given at the time when the same shall be thus deposited.

4.2 Waiver of Notice. Whenever any notice is required to be given under

the provisions of the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, or by law, a waiver thereof in writing signed by the person or persons entitled to said notice, whether before or after the time stated therein, shall be deemed equivalent thereto. Attendance of a person at a meeting shall constitute a waiver of notice of such meeting, except when such person attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting, at the beginning of the

meeting, to the transaction of any business on the ground that the meeting is not lawfully convened.

ARTICLE V

OFFICERS

5.1 Offices. The Officers of the Corporation shall be chosen by the

Directors and shall be a President, a Vice President, a Secretary, and a Treasurer. The Board of Directors may also choose additional Vice Presidents, and one or more Assistant Secretaries and Assistant Treasurers, and may appoint such other Officers and agents as it shall deem necessary. Two or more offices may be held by the same person, except that where the offices of the President and Secretary are held by the same person, such person shall not hold any other office.

5.2 Election; Term of Office; Removal. The Board of Directors at its

first meeting after each annual meeting of stockholders shall elect the President, one or more Vice Presidents, the Secretary, the Treasurer, and such other Officers as it shall deem necessary, who shall hold their offices for such terms and shall exercise such powers and perform such duties as shall be determined from time to time by the Board. The Officers of the Corporation shall hold office until their successors are chosen and qualify in their stead, or until such time as they may resign or be removed from office. Any Officer elected or appointed by the Board of Directors may be removed at any time by the affirmative vote of a majority of the whole Board of Directors. If the office of any Officer becomes vacant for any reason, the vacancy shall be filled by the Board of Directors. In the case of any office other than that of President, Secretary or Treasurer, the President may appoint a person to serve in such office, on a temporary basis, until the vacancy is filled by the Board.

- 5.3 Compensation. The salaries of all Officers and agents of the ______Corporation shall be fixed by or in the manner prescribed by the Board of Directors.
- 5.4 The President and Chief Executive Officer. The President shall be the Chief Executive Officer and shall report directly to the Board of Directors. The President shall perform such duties as are incident to the office of Chief Executive Officer or are properly specified and authorized by the Board of Directors.
- 5.5 Vice Presidents. The Vice Presidents, in the order fixed by the Board of Directors, shall, in the absence or disability of the President, perform the duties and exercise the powers of the President, and shall perform such other duties as the Board of Directors shall prescribe.

and all meetings of the stockholders and record all votes and the minutes of all proceedings in a book to be kept for that purpose and shall perform like duties for the standing committees when required. The Secretary shall give, or cause to be given, notice of all meetings of the stockholders and special meetings of the Board of Directors, and shall perform such other duties as may be prescribed by the Board of Directors or the President, under whose supervision the Secretary shall be. The Secretary shall keep in safe custody the Seal of the Corporation and, when authorized by the Board, affix the same to any instrument requiring it and, when so affixed, it shall be attested by the Secretary's signature or by the signature of the Treasurer or an Assistant Secretary.

5.6 The Secretary. The Secretary shall attend all meetings of the Board

- 5.7 Assistant Secretaries. The Assistant Secretaries, in the order fixed by the Board of Directors, shall, in the absence or disability of the Secretary, perform the duties and exercise the powers of the Secretary and shall perform such other duties as the Board of Directors shall prescribe.
- funds and securities and shall keep full and accurate accounts of receipts and disbursements in books belonging to the Corporation and shall deposit all moneys and other valuables in the name and to the credit of the Corporation in such depositories or other institutions as may be designated by the Board of Directors. The Treasurer shall disburse the funds of the Corporation by check or by electronic or wire transfer, as may be ordered by the Board, taking proper vouchers for such disbursements, and shall render to the President and Directors, at the regular meetings of the Board, or whenever they may require it, an account of all transactions as Treasurer and of the financial condition of the Corporation.
- 5.09 Assistant Treasurers. The Assistant Treasurers, in the order fixed by the Board of Directors, shall, in the absence or disability of the Treasurer, perform the duties and exercise the powers of the Treasurer and shall perform such other duties as the Board of Directors shall prescribe.

ARTICLE VI

STOCK CERTIFICATES, TRANSFERS AND RECORD DATE

6.1 Certificates of Stock. The certificates of stock of the Corporation shall be numbered and shall be entered in the books of the Corporation as they are issued. They shall exhibit the holder's name and number of shares and shall be signed by the President or a Vice President and the Treasurer or an Assistant

-9-

Treasurer or the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary. Any or all of the signatures on the certificate may be a facsimile. In the event that any officer, transfer agent, or registrar who has signed

or whose facsimile signature has been placed upon a certificate shall have ceased to be such officer, transfer agent, or registrar before such certificate is issued, it may be used by the Corporation with the same effect as if such person were such officer, transfer agent, or registrar at the date of issue.

- 6.2 Transfers of Stock. Upon surrender to the Corporation or the Transfer
- Agent of the Corporation of a certificate for shares duly endorsed or accompanied by proper evidence of succession, assignment or authority to transfer, it shall be the duty of the Corporation to issue a new certificate to the person entitled thereto, cancel the old certificate and record the transaction upon its books.
 - 6.3 Record Date for Stockholders. For the purpose of determining the

stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at any annual or special meeting of stockholders or any adjournment thereof, or for the purpose of determining stockholders entitled to receive payment of any dividend or other distribution or the allotment of any rights, or entitled to exercise any rights in respect of any change, conversion, or exchange of stock, or for the purpose of any other lawful action, the Directors may fix, in advance, a date as the record date for any such determination of stockholders. Such date shall not be more than sixty days nor less than ten days before the date of such meeting, nor more than sixty days prior to any other action. If no record date is fixed, the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting of stockholders shall be at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which notice is given, or if notice is waived, at the close of business on the day next preceding the day on which the meeting is held; the record date for determining stockholders for any other purpose shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts the resolution relating thereto. When a determination of stockholders of record entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting of stockholders has been made as provided in this paragraph, such determination shall apply to any adjournment thereof; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may fix a new record date for the adjourned meeting.

6.4 Registered Stockholders. The Corporation shall be entitled to treat

the holder of record of any share or shares of stock as the holder in fact thereof and, accordingly, shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such share or shares on the part of any other person, whether or not it shall have express or other notice thereof, except as otherwise provided by law.

 $6.5\,$ Lost Certificates. The Board of Directors may direct a new

certificate or certificates to be issued in place of any certificate or certificates theretofore issued by the Corporation alleged to have been lost or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the person claiming the certificate of stock to be lost or destroyed. When authorizing such issue of a new certificate or certificates, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion and as a condition precedent to the issuance thereof, require the owner of such lost or destroyed certificate or certificates, or the owner's legal representative to advertise the same in such manner as it shall require and/or give the Corporation a bond in such sum as it may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against the Corporation with respect to the certificate alleged to have been lost or destroyed.

ARTICLE VII

DIVIDENDS

- 7.1 Power to Declare Dividends. Dividends upon the capital stock of the Corporation, subject to the provisions of the Certificate of Incorporation, if any, may be declared by the Board of Directors at any regular or special meeting, pursuant to law. Dividends may be paid in cash, in property, or in shares of the capital stock, subject to the provisions of the Certificate of Incorporation.
- 7.2 Discretion of the Board. Before payment of any dividend, there may be set aside out of any funds of the Corporation available for dividends such sum or sums as the Directors from time to time, in their absolute discretion, think proper as a reserve fund to meet contingencies, or for equalizing dividends, or for repairing or maintaining any property of the Corporation, or for such other purpose as the Directors shall think conducive to the interest of the Corporation, and the Directors may modify or abolish any such reserve in the manner in which it was created.

ARTICLE VIII

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 8.1 Instruments. All checks, demands for money, notes, deeds, mortgages, bonds, contracts and other instruments of the Corporation shall be signed by such Officer or Officers or such other person or persons as the Board of Directors may from time to time designate.
- 8.2 Borrowing. No officer, agent or employee of the Corporation shall have any power or authority to borrow money on behalf of the Corporation, to pledge the Corporation's credit, or to mortgage or pledge the Corporation's representation or personal property, except within the scope and to the extent such authority

pledge the Corporation's credit, or to mortgage or pledge the Corporation's real or personal property, except within the scope and to the extent such authority has been delegated to such person by resolution of the Board of Directors. Such authority may be given by the Board and may be general or limited to specific instances.

- 8.3 Voting Securities of Other Corporations. Subject to any specific direction from the Board of Directors, the President of the Corporation, or any other person or persons who may from time to time be designated by the Board of Directors, shall have the authority to vote on behalf of the Corporation the securities of any other corporation which are owned or held by the Corporation and may attend meetings of stockholders or execute and deliver proxies or written consents for such purpose.
- 8.4 Fiscal Year. The fiscal year shall begin the first day of January in each year.
- 8.5 Seal. The corporate seal shall have inscribed thereon the name of the ---Corporation, the year of its organization and the words "Corporate Seal, Delaware." Said seal may be used by causing it or a facsimile thereof to be impressed or affixed or reproduced or otherwise.
- 8.6 Books and Records of the Corporation. The books and records of the Corporation shall be kept at such places as the Board may from time to time determine.

ARTICLE IX

INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

- 9.1 Right To Indemnification. Each person who was or is made a party or is threatened to be made a party to any action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact such person is or was a Director or Officer of the Corporation or is or was serving at the request of the Corporation as a Director or Officer of another corporation or of a partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, shall be indemnified and held harmless by the Corporation to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law against all expense, liability and loss (including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines or penalties and amounts paid in settlement) reasonably incurred or suffered by such person in connection therewith, and such indemnification shall continue as to such person who has ceased to be a Director or Officer and shall inure to the benefit of the person's heirs, executors and administrators. For purposes of this section, persons serving as Director or Officer of the Corporation's direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries shall be deemed to be serving at the Corporation's request.
- 9.2 Right To Advancement Of Expenses. The right to indemnification conferred in Paragraph (a) of this section shall include the right to be paid by the Corporation the expenses incurred in defending any action, suit, or proceeding in advance of its final disposition, subject to the receipt by the Corporation of an undertaking by or on behalf of such person to repay all amounts

so advanced if it shall ultimately be determined that such person is not entitled to be indemnified.

- 9.3 Nonexclusivity of Rights. The rights to indemnification and to the advancement of expenses contained in this section shall not be exclusive of any other right which any person may have or hereafter acquire under any law, provision of the Corporation's Certificate of Incorporation, Bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested Directors or otherwise.
- 9.4 Employee Benefit Plans. For purposes of this section, references to "other enterprises" shall include employee benefit plans; references to "fines" shall include any excise taxes assessed on a person with respect to an employee benefit plan; and references to "serving at the request of the Corporation" shall include any service as a Director or Officer of the Corporation which imposes duties on, or involves services by, such Director or Officer with respect to an employee benefit plan, its participants, or beneficiaries; and a person who acted in good faith and in a manner such person reasonably believed to be in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of an employee benefit plan shall be deemed to have acted in a manner "not opposed to the best interests of the Corporation."

ARTICLE X

AMENDMENTS

10.1 Amendment of Bylaws. These Bylaws may be altered or repealed at any

regular meeting of the stockholders or at any special meeting of the stockholders, provided notice of the proposed alteration or repeal be contained in the notice of such special meeting, by the affirmative vote of the holders of 75% or more of outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at such meeting and present or represented thereat. The Board of Directors may alter or repeal the Bylaws by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Board at any regular meeting of the Board or at any special meeting of the Board if notice of the proposed alteration or repeal be contained in the notice of such special meeting.

TANDEM STOCK OPTION AND PERFORMANCE SHARE AGREEMENT

pursuant to the

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN

AGREEMENT dated as of January 17, 1995 and entered into, in duplicate, by and between Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, a Delaware Corporation (the "Company"), and XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (the "Grantee").

WITNESSETH that:

WHEREAS, the Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Performance Incentive Plan (the "Plan") has been duly adopted by action of the Company,s Board of Directors as of January 1, 1992; and

WHEREAS, the Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company referred to in the Plan (the "Committee") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Company to grant the tandem award described herein pursuant to the Plan; and

WHEREAS, the shares of the Common Stock of the Company that may be delivered pursuant to this Agreement, when added to the other shares of the Common Stock of the Company that may be delivered pursuant to other agreements entered into under the Plan, do not exceed the total number of shares of the Common Stock of the Company with respect to which awards are authorized to be granted under the Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby covenanted and agreed by and between the Company and the Grantee as follows:

Section 1. Tandem Award

The Company hereby grants to the Grantee a Tandem Award for the three-year period commencing January 1, 1995 and ending December 31, 1997 (the "Award Period"). As more fully described herein, the Tandem Award consists of (1) an option to purchase XXXXXX shares of the Company, s Common Stock (the "Option"), exercisable in cumulative installments of one-third on each anniversary of the commencement of the Award Period and (2) the right to earn XXXXXXX Performance Shares upon the Company, s achieving the respective Performance Goals set forth in Section 3 hereof. When Performance Shares are issued, the Option shall expire. Exercise of the Option will cancel the Grantee, s right to earn a corresponding number of Performance Shares, as set forth in Section 3.

Section 2. The Option

- (a) The Company hereby grants to the Grantee an Option to purchase an aggregate of XXXXXX shares of the Common Stock, par value \$.4867 per share, of the Company (the "Shares"). Subject to all of the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, such Option shall be irrevocable.
- (b) The price at which the Option shall be exercisable shall be \$XXXXXX per Share (the "Exercise Price").
- (c) Subject to all of the other terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, the Option shall become exercisable by the Grantee in installments as set forth below, but in no event shall the Option be exercised later than December 31, 2004 (the "Expiration Date").
- (i) On or after January 1, 1996, the Option may be exercised in respect of one-third of the aggregate number of Shares specified in Section 2(a).
- (ii) On or after January 1, 1997, the Option may be exercised in respect of an additional one-third of the aggregate number of Shares specified in Section 2(a).
- (iii) On or after January 1, 1998, the Option may be exercised in respect of an additional one-third of the aggregate number of Shares specified in Section 2(a).
- (d) Any unexercised portion of the ${\tt Option}$ will expire immediately upon issuance of Performance Shares.
- (e) In the event of a Change in Control, as defined in the Plan, all Option installments that have not theretofore become exercisable shall become exercisable in full.
- (f) The Option or any portion thereof shall be exercised by delivering or mailing to the Committee at the Company's address at the time of exercise,
- (i) a notice in writing specifying the number of Shares to be purchased, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
- (ii) payment in full of the Exercise Price for the Shares so purchased by (1) a money order, cashiers check or certified check payable to the Company, (2) exchange of Shares of the Company's Common Stock (including exchange of Shares received upon the exercise of a portion of a stock option to satisfy the exercise price for additional portions of the option), valued at Fair Market Value as defined in the Plan, or (3) such other form of payment as shall be determined by the Committee to be acceptable, in all cases subject to any withholding required by applicable law or regulations.

- (g) Sale, transfer, or hypothecation of the Shares shall be prohibited for a period of three (3) years after they are issued (the "Restriction Period"), and the Shares will bear a restrictive legend to that effect.
- (h) Upon expiration of the Restriction Period, the transfer restrictions imposed by this Agreement will expire and new certificates representing the Shares, without the restrictive legend described in paragraph (g) of this Section 2, shall be issued, subject to the provisions of this paragraph (h). It is not contemplated that the Shares will be registered for resale under the Securities Act of 1933 or the laws of any state and the Company is under no obligation to so register the Shares. Accordingly, transfer of the Shares after expiration of the Restriction Period will require the availability of an exemption from such registration, and prior to issuance of new certificates, the Company will be entitled to take such measures as it deems appropriate (including but not limited to obtaining from the Grantee investment representations and/or further legending the new certificates) to ensure that Shares are not transferred in the absence of such an exemption.
- (i) The Option is exercisable only by the Grantee or, in the case of the Grantee,s death or incapacity, by the Grantee,s executors, administrators, guardians or other legal representatives, and shall not be assignable or transferable by the Grantee, other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution.
- (j) Upon receipt of the notice of exercise and payment of the Exercise Price, the Company shall, subject to the provisions of this Agreement, promptly issue to the Grantee a certificate or certificates for the Shares purchased, without charge to the Grantee for issue or transfer tax. Until the Grantee is recorded on the Company,s stockholder ledger as the holder of record of the Shares, no right to vote or receive dividends or other distributions nor any other rights as a stockholder of the Company shall exist with respect to Shares receivable, notwithstanding the exercise of the Option. Except as provided in Section 6 of the Agreement, no adjustment shall be made for distribution or other rights for which the record date is prior to the date of issuance of the certificate for the Shares.
- (k) If the Grantee is separated from employment before the Option has been exercised as to any or all of the Shares, such unexercised portion of the Option shall be exercisable or forfeited as follows, except that under no circumstances can any portion of the Option be exercised after the Expiration Date:
- (i) Upon voluntary termination by the Grantee (other than for retirement at age 65 or as accepted by the Committee) any unexercised portion of the Option shall be forfeited, unless otherwise determined by the Committee.
- (ii) Upon termination by the Company for failure of job performance or other just cause as determined by the Committee, any unexercised portion of the Option that is exercisable may be exercised during a period of 30 days following termination, at which time the Option shall expire, unless such period is extended by the Committee.

- (iii) Upon termination of employment by reason of death or total and permanent disability (as determined by the Committee), the Option shall be deemed exercisable immediately as to that proportion of the total number of shares subject to the Option equal to the proportion of the Award Period served, reduced by the number of shares as to which the Option has already been exercised. The remaining portion of the exercisable portion of the Option shall expire, unless otherwise determined by the Committee. If termination is by reason of death, the exercisable portion of the Option shall expire (if it has not already expired) the later of (A) six months from the date of death or (B) March 15, 1998, unless extended by the Committee.
- (iv) Upon retirement of the Grantee at age 65 or as accepted by the Committee, the Option must be exercised no later than the fifth anniversary of such retirement, at which time the Option shall expire, unless such period is extended by the Committee.
- (1) The Option is not, is not intended to be, and shall not be treated as, an "incentive stock option" as defined in Section 422A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Section 3. Performance Shares

- (a) The Company hereby grants to the Grantee the right to earn a maximum of XXXXXX shares of the Company,s Common Stock, restricted as to transfer as set forth in paragraph (d) of this Section 3 ("Performance Shares"), according to the following terms and conditions.
- (b) As soon as practicable after the Company,s independent auditors have certified the Company,s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1997, the Committee shall determine for the Award Period and for purposes of this Agreement the Company,s aggregate net income ("ANE") and return on equity ("ROE"). ANE and ROE shall be determined by the Committee based on financial results reported to shareholders in the Company,s annual reports but shall be subject to adjustment by the Committee for extraordinary events. The Committee shall promptly notify the Grantee of its determination. If each of ANE and ROE is equal to or greater than the respective Performance Goal set forth below, the Grantee shall receive XXXXX Performance Shares, provided that if any portion of the Option has been exercised, the number of such Performance Shares shall be reduced by 1 share for every 1.97 shares purchased by exercise of the Option. If ANE or ROE is less than the respective Performance Goal, no Performance Shares will be received.

Performance Goals

(c) The number of Performance Shares determined under paragraph (b) will increase by 30% for each increment of 1/2 of 1% by which ROE exceeds 12-1/2%, but may not exceed the maximum number of Performance Shares set forth in paragraph (a) of this Section 3.

- (d) Performance Shares shall be issued to the Grantee promptly upon the determination described in paragraph (b), without payment of consideration by the Grantee. The Grantee shall be the right to vote the Performance Shares and receive the cash dividends distributable with respect to such shares on, but not before, the date on which the Grantee is recorded on the Company's ledger as holder of record of the Shares (the "Issue Date"). Sale, transfer, pledge, or hypothecation of the Performance Shares shall be prohibited for a period of three (3) years after the Issue Date (the "Restriction Period"), and the Performance Shares will bear a restrictive legend to that effect.
- (e) Upon expiration of the Restriction Period, the transfer restrictions imposed by this Agreement will expire and new certificates representing the Performance Shares, without the restrictive legend described in paragraph (d) of this Section 3, shall be issued, subject to the provisions of paragraph (f) of this Section 3.
- (f) It is not contemplated that the Performance Shares will be registered for resale under the Securities Act of 1933 or the laws of any state and the Company is under no obligation to so register the Performance Shares. Accordingly, transfer of Performance Shares after expiration of the Restriction Period will require the availability of an exemption from such registration, and prior to issuance of new certificates, the Company will be entitled to take such measures as it deems appropriate (including but not limited to obtaining from the Grantee investment representation and/or further legending the new certificates) to ensure that Performance Shares are not transferred in the absence of such an exemption.
- (g) In the event of a Change of Control as defined in the Plan, the number of Performance Shares set forth in paragraph (b) of this Section 3, pro rated based on the proportion of the Award Period expired as of the date of such Change of Control, shall be deemed earned upon the occurrence of the Change of Control.
- (h) If during the Award Period, the Grantee is separated from employment, Performance Shares shall be deemed earned or forfeited as follows:
- (i) Upon voluntary termination by the Grantee (other than for retirement at age 65 or as accepted by the Committee) or termination by the Company for failure of job performance or other just cause as determined by the Committee, all unearned Performance Shares shall be forfeited immediately;
- (ii) If the Grantee separates from employment by reason of death or total and permanent disability (as determined by the Committee), the number of Performance Shares earned at the end of the Award Period shall be reduced by pro rating such Performance Shares based on the proportion of the Award Period during which the Grantee was employed by the Company, unless the Committee determines that the Performance Shares shall not be so reduced;

(iii) Retirement of the Grantee at age 65 or as accepted by the Committee shall not affect unearned Performance Shares, which shall continue to be earned as set forth in the Table.

Section 4. Withholding

- (a) The Grantee shall be solely responsible for any federal, state or local income taxes imposed in connection with the exercise of the Option or any portion thereof or the delivery of Shares incident thereto, or any Performance Shares received. Prior to the transfer of Shares or Performance Shares to the Grantee, the Grantee shall remit the Company an amount sufficient to satisfy any federal, state, local or other withholding tax requirements.
- (b) The Grantee may elect to have any withholding tax obligation satisfied by having the Company withhold shares otherwise deliverable to the Grantee as Performance Shares or upon exercise of an Option, provided that such election will be subject to approval of the Committee and must be made either (i) during one of the window periods described in Section (e) (3) of Rule 16b-3, or (ii) at such other time as the Committee may determine that such election would be an exempt transaction under Section 16(b) of the 1934 Act.

Section 5. Additional Conditions to Issuance of Shares

Each exercise of the Option or any portion thereof and transfer of Performance Shares shall be subject to the condition that if at any time the Committee shall determine, in its sole discretion, that it is necessary or desirable as a condition of, or in connection with, such exercise (or the delivery of Shares thereunder) or transfer of Performance Shares (i) to satisfy withholding tax or other withholding liabilities, (ii) to effect the listing, registration or qualification on any securities exchange or under any state or federal law of any Shares deliverable in connection with such exercise, or (iii) to obtain the consent or approval of any regulatory body, then in any such event such exercise or transfer shall not be effective unless such withholding, listing, registration, qualification, consent or approval shall have been effected or obtained free of any conditions not acceptable to the Company. Any such limitation affecting the right to exercise an Option shall not extend the time within which the Option may be exercised, unless the Committee in its sole discretion determines otherwise; and neither the Company or any affiliate of the Company, the directors or officers of the Company or any affiliate of the Company, nor the Committee shall have any obligation or liability to the Grantee or to any executor, administrator, guardian or other legal representative of the Grantee regarding Shares with respect to which the Option shall lapse, or with respect to which the purchase of Shares shall not be effected, because of such limitation.

Section 6. Adjustment of Shares

(a) If the Company shall be involved in a merger, consolidation or other reorganization, whether or not the Company is the surviving corporation, any unexercised portion of the Option received hereunder shall be deemed an option to purchase the same number of shares in the surviving corporation that a holder of the number of Shares subject to the unexercised portion of the Option would be entitled to receive under the terms of the merger, consolidation or other reorganization.

Any option deemed granted under this Section 6(a) shall be deemed granted on the date the original Option was granted for purposes of the vesting provisions of Section 2. Any right to earn Performance Shares shall be similarly adjusted. If the Company is not the surviving corporation, the surviving corporation (the "Successor") shall succeed to the rights and obligations of the Company under this Agreement.

(b) If any subdivision or combination of shares of Common Stock or any stock dividend, capital reorganization or recapitalization occurs after the adoption of the Plan, the Committee shall make such proportionate adjustments as are appropriate in the purchase price of, and the number of Shares underlying, the Option and in the number of Performance Shares to be earned in order to prevent the dilution or enlargement of the rights of the Grantee.

Section 7. No Right to Employment

Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be deemed by implication or otherwise to confer upon the Grantee any right to continued employment by the Company or any affiliate of the Company.

Section 8. Notice

Any notice to be given hereunder by the Grantee shall be sent by mail addressed to Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, 861 Silver Lake Blvd., Cannon Building, Dover, Delaware 19904, for the attention of the Committee, c/o the Secretary, and any notice by the Company to the Grantee shall be sent by mail addressed to the Grantee at the address of the Grantee shown on the first page hereof. Either party may, but notice given to the other in accordance with the provisions of this Section 8, change the address to which subsequent notices shall be sent.

Section 9. Assumption of Risk

It is expressly understood and agreed that the Grantee assumes all risks incident to any change hereafter in the applicable laws or regulations or incident to any change in the market value of the Shares or Performance Shares.

Section 10. Terms of Plan

This Agreement is entered into pursuant to the Plan (a copy of which has been delivered to the Grantee). This Agreement is subject to all of the terms and provisions of the Plan, which are incorporated into this Agreement by reference, and the actions taken by the Committee pursuant to the Plan. In the event of a conflict between this Agreement and the Plan, the provisions of the Plan shall govern. All determinations by the Committee will be in its sole discretion and will be binding on the Company and the Grantee.

Section 11. Governing Law; Amendment

This Agreement shall be governed by, and shall be construed, enforced and administered in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware and the requirements of any applicable federal law. This Agreement may be modified or amended only in writing signed by the parties hereto.

Section 12. Terms of Agreement

This Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding against the parties hereto for so long as the Option remains outstanding and for so long as any Shares or Performance Shares issued to the Grantee under this Agreement continue to be held by the Grantee.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this Agreement to be executed in its corporate name, and the Grantee has executed the same in evidence of the Grantee,s acceptance hereof, upon the terms and conditions herein set forth, as of the day and year first above written.

CHESA	PEAKE U	TILITIES	CORPORA	TION	
By:					
-					
	 Grantee				
	Or arrece				

8

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

EXHIBIT 11

COMPUTATION OF PRIMARY AND FULLY DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994, 1993 AND 1992

ITEM 	1994	1993	1992	
Shares issued at beginning of year Treasury stock at beginning of year Sale of treasury stock	3,605,152 (30,084) 14,475	3,522,670 (34,892) 4,808	3,472,826 (34,892)	
reinvestment plan	30,928	27,942	12,546	
restricted stock award agreement Issuance of common stock for conversion of debentures	32,418 54,540 293			
Shares outstanding at end of year	3,653,182		3,487,778	
Primary earnings per share calculation: Weighted average number of shares assuming primary dilution Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	3,632,413 \$4,459,922	3,556,037 \$3,971,671	3,477,244 \$3,475,009 73,500	
Consolidated net income		\$3,971,671		
Primary earnings per share from continuing operationsPrimary earnings per share from			\$ 1.00	
discontinued operations	0.00	0.00	0.02	
Total primary earnings per share	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.02	
Fully diluted earnings per share calculation: Weighted average number of shares assuming primary dilution Contingent shares related to assumed conversion of convertible debt	3,632,413	3,556,037 260,258	3,477,244	
Weighted average number of shares assuming full dilution	3,888,190	3,816,295	3,749,131	
Adjusted income from continuing operations: Income from continuing operations Interest on convertible debt Less: Applicable income taxes	358,998 (140,009)	\$3,971,671 365,284 (142,461)	381,604 (148,825)	
Adjusted income from continuing operations		4,194,494	73,500	
Adjusted net income	\$4,678,911	\$4,194,494		
Fully diluted earnings per share from continuing operations Fully diluted earnings per share from discontinued operations	\$ 1.20 0.00		\$ 0.99	
Total fully diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.20*	\$ 1.10* ======	\$ 1.01*	

NOTES:

^{*} This calculation is submitted in accordance with Regulation S-K item 601(b)(11) although not required by footnote 2 to paragraph 14 of APB Opinion No. 15 because it results in dilution of less than 3%.

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

EXHIBIT 12

COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

	FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
		1993	
Income from continuing operations	\$4,459,922	\$3,971,671	\$3,548,509
Income taxes Portion of rents representative of interest	2,542,368	1,968,822	2,315,686
fact	187,012	199,021	191,971
Interest on indebtedness		2,702,013	2,751,753
Amortization of debt discount and expense	103,859	100,797	68,662
Earnings as adjusted			
Fixed Charges	=======	=======	=======
Portion of rents representative of interest	4 107 010	4 400 004	4 404 074
fact	•		,
Interest on indebtedness	, ,	, ,	, ,
Amortization of debt discount and expense	103,859	100,797	08,002
Fixed Charges		\$3,001,831	
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	3.39		2.95

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

EXHIBIT 22

SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT

SUBSIDIARIES
Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company
Sharp Energy, Inc.
Chesapeake Services Company
United Systems, Inc.

SUBSIDIARY OF EASTERN SHORE NATURAL GAS

COMPANY

Dover Exploration Company

SUBSIDIARIES OF SHARP ENERGY, INC. Sharpgas, Inc. Sharpoil, Inc.

SUBSIDIARIES OF CHESAPEAKE SERVICE
COMPANY
Skipjack, Inc.
Capital Data Systems, Inc.
Currin and Associates, Inc.
Chesapeake Investment Company

STATE INCORPORATED
Delaware
Delaware
Delaware

Georgia

STATE INCORPORATED Delaware

STATE INCORPORATED Delaware Delaware

STATE INCORPORATED
Delaware
North Carolina
North Carolina
Delaware

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

We consent to the incorporation be reference in the Prospectuses prepared in accordance with the requirements of Form S-2 (File No. 33-26582) and Form S-3 (File No. 33-28391) of our report dated February 10, 1995, which contains an explanatory paragraph related to changes in accounting methods, accompanying the consolidated financial statements and the consolidated financial statement schedules of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation as of December 31, 1994 and 1993 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1994, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.

Baltimore, Maryland March 30, 1995

```
YEAR
             DEC-31-1994
                JAN-01-1994
                 DEC-31-1994
                     PER-BOOK
     58,409,187
   18,545,323
       17,582,481
      13,733,626
                          0
                108,270,617
                        1,785,514
     16,834,823
           19,480,374
37,062,581
                              0
           24,328,988
             8,000,000
              0
         0
  1,348,080
              0
             0
                        0
36,492,838
108,270,617
    98,572,297
2,529,635
    88, 815, 588
91, 345, 223
        7,227,074
               15,654
 7,242,728
       2,782,806
                    4,459,922
  4,459,922
      3,198,631
2,316,779
14,381,011
                         1.23
                         1.20
```